

## The weak topology on a Banach space

### A GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

Let  $X$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of functions

$$f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

We consider all the subsets

$$A \subset X$$

of the form

$$A = \bigcap_{i=1}^N f_i^{-1}(\omega_i),$$

where:

- $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , is a collection of maps;
- $\omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , is a collection of open sets.

For simplicity, if  $A \subset W$  is as above, we will say that

$$A \in \mathcal{N}(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

**Proposition 1.** *Let now  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$  be the collection of all sets  $A$  of the form*

$$A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i,$$

where:

- $\mathcal{I}$  is a finite or infinite set of indices;
- for each  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{N}(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Then,  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a topology on  $X$ .

**Remark 2.** Every map  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is continuous with respect to  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Moreover, if  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$  for which all the maps  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are continuous, then  $\tau$  contains  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$ . That is,

$\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$  is the *coarsest topology*  
(said also *weakest topology, most economical topology, least fine topology*)  
on  $X$  that makes all the maps  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  continuous.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $x_n$  be a sequence in  $X$  and let  $x \in X$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in the topology of  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$ ;
- (2)  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ , for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 4.** *Let  $Z$  be a topological space and let  $\phi : Z \rightarrow X$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\phi$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\sigma(X, \mathcal{F})$ ;
- (2)  $f(\phi) : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

---

## THE WEAK TOPOLOGY ON A BANACH SPACE $\mathcal{B}$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space and let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be its dual.

**Definition 5** (Weak topology). *The weak topology on  $\mathcal{B}$  is the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ , which is:  
the weakest topology on  $\mathcal{B}$  that makes all the maps in  $\mathcal{B}'$  continuous.*

**Remark 6** (Strong topology). *The strong topology on  $\mathcal{B}$  is the topology induced by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ .*

**Remark 7** (Weak topology and weak convergence). *Let  $x_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in the topology of  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ ;
- (2)  $T(x_n) \rightarrow T(x)$ , for every  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$ .

We will say that  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  weakly in  $\mathcal{B}$  and we will write  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ .

**Proposition 8** (The weak topology is Hausdorff). *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space and let  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  be the weak topology on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, for every couple of distinct points  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$ , there are open sets  $V, W \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  such that:*

$$x \in V, \quad y \in W, \quad V \cap W = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* The claim follows from the Hahn-Banach's theorems. We consider three cases.

**Case 1.** Suppose that one between  $x$  and  $y$  is zero, say  $x = 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . By Hahn-Banach, there is  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that:

$$T(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = 1 > 0.$$

Then,

$$x = 0 \in \left\{ T < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad y \in \left\{ T > \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

**Case 2.** Suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y = tx$  for some  $t > 1$ . By Hahn-Banach, there is  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that:

$$T(x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = t > 0.$$

Then,

$$x \in \left\{ T < \frac{1+t}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad y \in \left\{ T > \frac{1+t}{2} \right\}.$$

**Case 3.** Suppose that  $y \notin \{tx : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . By Hahn-Banach, there is  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that:

$$T(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T(y) = 1.$$

Then,

$$x \in \left\{ T < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad y \in \left\{ T > \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

□

**Proposition 9** (Boundedness and semicontinuity of the norm). *Let  $x_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , then the sequence of norms  $\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is bounded and*

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

*Proof.* The sequence of norms is bounded thanks to Banach-Steinhaus. In order to prove the lower-semicontinuity of the norms, we consider an operator

$$T \in \mathcal{B}' , \quad T(x) = \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} , \quad \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 1,$$

which exists thanks to Hahn-Banach. Now, take a subsequence  $x_{n_k}$  such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{n_k}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

and compute

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} = T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T(x_{n_k}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \|x_{n_k}\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

□

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $x_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $T_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{B}'$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$ . If*

$$x_n \rightharpoonup x \quad \text{and} \quad T_n \rightarrow T,$$

*then*

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} T_n(x_n).$$

*Proof.* We write

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(x_n) - T(x)| &= |T_n(x_n) - T(x_n)| + |T(x_n) - T(x)| \\ &\leq \|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} + |T(x_n) - T(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

By the strong convergence of  $T_n$  to  $T$ , we have  $\|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \rightarrow 0$ , while the weak convergence of  $x_n$  gives that  $\|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}$  is bounded. Thus,

$$\|T_n - T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, the weak convergence of  $x_n$  gives

$$|T(x_n) - T(x)| \rightarrow 0,$$

which concludes the proof. □

## COMPARISON OF THE WEAK AND THE STRONG TOPOLOGIES ON $\mathcal{B}$

**Proposition 11.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite dimensional Banach space, that is,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, the weak and the strong topologies on  $\mathcal{B}$  are equivalent.*

**Proposition 12.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space. Let  $S_1$  be the unit sphere*

$$S_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 1\}.$$

*Then, the closure of  $S_1$  with respect to the weak topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  is the closed unit ball*

$$\overline{B}_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in B_1$ . It is sufficient to prove that any neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  defined as

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^N T_i^{-1}((-\varepsilon_i + T_i(x_0), T_i(x_0) + \varepsilon_i)),$$

contains a point on the sphere. Notice that since  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite dimensional the map

$$L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N , \quad L(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_N(x)),$$

cannot be injective. Then, there is  $y \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $y \neq 0$ , such that

$$T_1(y) = \cdots = T_N(y) = 0.$$

But then, there is  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|x_0 + ty\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 + ty \in V.$$

□

**Corollary 13.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then, the unit ball*

$$B_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} < 1\},$$

*is not an open set with respect to the weak topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ . In particular, the weak and the strong topologies on  $\mathcal{B}$  are not the same.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to notice that the set  $\{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \geq 1\}$  is closed with respect to the strong topology, but its closure with respect to  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  is the whole space  $\mathcal{B}$ . □

#### THE WEAK TOPOLOGY ON $\mathcal{B}$ IS NOT INDUCED BY A METRIC

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space and let*

$$T_i : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

*be linear maps. Suppose that  $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear map with satisfying the following property:*

$$\text{if } v \in V \text{ is such that } T_i(v) = 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, N, \text{ then } T(v) = 0.$$

*Then,  $T$  is a linear combination of  $T_i$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the linear map

$$L : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \quad L(v) = (T(v), T_1(v), \dots, T_N(v)).$$

Then,  $L(V)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  and  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \notin L(V)$ . Then, there is a linear functional

$$\xi : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi(x) = \lambda x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j x_{1+j}.$$

such that

$$\xi(e_1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \equiv 0 \text{ on } L(V).$$

Since  $\xi(T(v)) \equiv 0$  we have

$$0 = \lambda T(v) + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T_j(v).$$

On the other hand, by construction we have  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so

$$T(v) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j T_j(v) \quad \text{for every } v \in V.$$

□

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space. If  $\mathcal{B}'$  is finite dimensional, then also  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T_k, k = 1, \dots, N$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Consider the map

$$L : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad L(v) = (T_1(v), \dots, T_N(v)).$$

First we notice that by the Hahn-Banach's theorem the kernel of  $L$  contains only the origin. Then  $L$  is injective.  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space. Suppose that there is a countable family of vectors  $v_n$  that spans  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{B}$  is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Follows from the Baire's lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space. Then, the weak topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  is NOT induced by a norm or a metric on  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that there is a distance  $\delta$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let now  $T \in \mathcal{B}'$ . Then, the set

$$W = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : |T(x)| < 1\}$$

is an open neighborhood of 0. Since  $T$  is continuous, there is a metric ball

$$B_r^\delta = \{x \in \mathcal{V} : \delta(x, 0) < r\}.$$

Since  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  is induced by  $\delta$ , there is a neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  defined as

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^N T_i^{-1}((-\varepsilon_i + T_i(x_0), T_i(x_0) + \varepsilon_i)).$$

such that  $V \subset B_r^\delta$ . Suppose now that  $y \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfies

$$T_1(y) = \dots T_N(y) = 0.$$

Then, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$T_1(ty) = \dots T_N(ty) = 0.$$

Thus  $ty \in V$  for every  $t > 0$ . By construction

$$ty \in \{x \in \mathcal{B} : |T(x)| < 1\}$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . But then  $T(y) = 0$ . By the previous lemma,  $T$  is of the form:

$$T = \alpha_1 T_1 + \alpha_2 T_2 + \dots + \alpha_N T_N.$$

This means that  $\mathcal{B}'$  is generated by a countable family of operators  $T_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . But then,  $\mathcal{B}'$  has to be finite dimensional. But then also  $\mathcal{B}$  has to be finite dimensional.  $\square$

#### THE WEAK TOPOLOGY ON THE UNIT BALL OF $\mathcal{B}$ CAN BE INDUCED BY A METRIC

**Proposition 18.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach space with separable dual  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Then, the weak topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$  on the unit ball*

$$\overline{B}_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1\},$$

*is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Consider a countable dense subset  $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of  $\mathcal{B}'$  and set

$$\tilde{T}_n := \frac{1}{\|T_n\|_{\mathcal{B}'}} T_n \in \mathcal{B}'.$$

Define

$$\delta(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |\tilde{T}_n(x - y)|.$$

**Step 1.  $\delta$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{B}$ .** Indeed, it is immediate to check that since

$$|\tilde{T}_n(x - z)| \leq |\tilde{T}_n(x - y)| + |\tilde{T}_n(y - z)|,$$

the triangular inequality

$$\delta(x, z) \leq \delta(x, y) + \delta(y, z),$$

holds for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, if  $\delta(x, y) = 0$ , then

$$\tilde{T}_n(x - y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Suppose now that  $x - y \neq 0$ . By the Hahn-Banach's theorem, there is  $T \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$T(x - y) = \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}'} = 1.$$

But, the density of  $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$  implies that there is a subsequence  $(T_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$  such that

$$T_{n_k} \rightarrow T \quad \text{strongly in } \mathcal{B}',$$

which also implies that

$$\tilde{T}_{n_k} \rightarrow T \quad \text{strongly in } \mathcal{B}'.$$

Then,

$$\tilde{T}_{n_k}(x - y) \rightarrow T(x - y),$$

and so, for large enough  $k$ ,  $\tilde{T}_{n_k}(x - y) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**Step 2. The topology of  $\delta$  is equivalent to  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}')$ .** Suppose that  $x_n$  is a sequence in  $\overline{B}_1$  converging weakly to some  $x \in \overline{B}_1$ . Then:

- $|\tilde{T}_n(x_k - x)| \leq 2$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $k \geq 1$ ;
- for all fixed  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|\tilde{T}_n(x_k - x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

As a consequence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(x_k, x) = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \delta(x_k, x) = 0.$$

Then, for every fixed  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |\tilde{T}_n(x_k - x)| = 0,$$

so also

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |T_n(x_k - x)| = 0.$$

Since the sequence  $(x_k - x)$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have that  $x_k \rightharpoonup x$ . □