# **Chapter 8**

# Appendix

### 8.1 Hints to exercises

In this appendix we provide hints to the exercises. Please note that these will be brief and do not suggest a suitable style of writing proofs in mathematics. The complete solutions to the difficult exercises are given. These have been indicated by [complete solution] at the beginning of the solution, and suggest a proper way of writing solutions.

### Chapter 2

**2.1:** Let  $\varphi_1(z) = rz + a$  and  $\varphi_2(z) = sz + b$ . Then,  $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \to B(a, r)$  and  $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \to B(b, s)$  are biholomorphisms. It follows that  $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  is defined and holomorphic, and maps 0 to 0. By Lemma 2.1, we have  $|(\varphi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_1)'(0)| \leq 1$ . This implies  $|f'(a)| \leq s/r$ .

**2.2:** We have seen that  $\varphi_a(z) = (a-z)/(1-\overline{a}z)$  belongs to Aut( $\mathbb{D}$ ). Recall that  $\varphi_a$  is the inverse of  $\varphi_a$ .

(i) Apply Lemma 2.1-(ii) to the map  $\varphi_{f(a)}^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_a$ , and explicitly calculate the derivatives of  $\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_{f(a)}$ .

(ii) Apply Lemma 2.1-(i) to the map  $\varphi_{f(a)}^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi_a$  at  $\varphi_a^{-1}(b)$ .

**2.3:** The map  $\varphi(z) = \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\operatorname{Im} h(a)} z + (\operatorname{Re} a - \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\operatorname{Im} h(a)} \operatorname{Re} a)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{H}$  that maps h(a) to a. Let  $\psi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{H}$  be a biholomorphic map with  $\psi(0) = a$ . Then, apply Lemma 2.1-(ii) to the map  $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ h \circ \psi$ . Note that  $(\psi^{-1})'(a) = 1/\psi'(0)$ , so  $|(\varphi \circ h)'(a)| \leq 1$ . You need to calculate  $\varphi'(h(a))$ .

**2.4:** First note that it is enough to show that every point in  $\mathbb{D}$  can be mapped to 0. Then compose such maps to obtain an automorphism that maps z to w.

### Chapter 3

**3.1:** (i) Solve for A and B in f(z) = Az + B.

(ii) First note that it is enough to show that any three distinct points can be mapped to 0, 1, and  $\infty$ . Then, compose such maps and their inverses to get the desired map.

**3.2:** Apply the removable singularity theorem to the map  $z \mapsto 1/f(1/z)$ .

#### **3.3:** [Complete solution]

(i) Since  $\Omega$  is an open sset, there is r > 0 such that  $B(z_0, r) \subset \Omega$ . Then, f has a convergent power series expansion on  $B(z_0, r)$ , say

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + a_1(z - z_0) + a_2(z - z_0)^2 + \dots$$

As  $z_0$  is a zero of order k, we must have  $a_i = 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le k - 1$ , and  $a_k \ne 0$ . Then,

$$f(z) = a_k(z - z_0)^k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0)^{k+1} + \dots = (z - z_0)^k (a_k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0) + \dots).$$

The function  $h(z) = a_k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0) + \dots$  is holomorphic on  $B(z_0, r)$ , and in particular it is continuous. Then, for  $\varepsilon = |a_k|/2 > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|z - z_0| < \delta$  then  $|h(z) - h(z_0)| < \varepsilon$ . Here we may assume that  $\delta < r$ , as otherwise we may take min $\{\delta, r\}$ . The inequality means that h maps  $B(z_0, \delta)$  into  $B(a_k, |a_k|/2)$ ). On the other hand, since  $B(a_k, |a_k|/2)$  does not meet the line segment  $-a_k r$ , for  $r \in [0, \infty)$ , there is a holomorphic branch of the k-th-root function defined on this ball. That is,  $\sqrt[k]{h(z)}$  is defined and holomorphic on  $B(z_0, \delta)$ .

We have

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^k (a_k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0) + \dots) = ((z - z_0) \sqrt[k]{h(z)})^k,$$

that is,  $\psi(z) = (z - z_0) \sqrt[k]{h(z)}$ .

(ii) For the map  $\psi$  obtained in part (i), we have  $\psi(z_0) = 0$ , and by the product rule,  $\psi'(z_0) \neq 0$ . By the inverse function theorem,  $\psi$  has an inverse defined on a neighborhood of  $\phi(z_0) = 0$ . Let g be this inverse map that is defined on B(0, r), for some r > 0.

For every  $w \in B(0, r^k)$ , there are exactly k points  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k$  in B(0, r) such that  $w_i^k = w$ . Then the points  $z_i = g(w_i)$  provide k solutions for the equation f(z) = w. To see that there are at most k solutions, assume that f(z) = w for some  $w \in B(0, r^k)$ . Then  $\psi(z)^k = w$ , which implies that  $\psi(z)$  is a k-th root of w. Thus,  $z_i$  are the only solutions.

**3.4:** (i) Assume that f is not constant. Let U be an open set in  $\Omega$ . We need to show that f(U) is open. Fix an arbitrary  $w_0 \in f(U)$ . There is  $z_0 \in U$  with  $f(z_0) = w_0$ . Since, f is not constant, the function  $z \mapsto f(z) - w_0$  has a zero of some finite order  $k \ge 1$  at  $z_0$ . By the previous exercise,  $f(z) - w_0$  is locally k to 1 near  $z_0$ . That is, for every w near  $w_0$ , there is z near  $z_0$  such that f(z) = w. Since U is open, the points sufficiently close to  $z_0$ 

are in U. This means that a neighborhood of  $w_0$  is contained in f(U). As  $w_0 \in f(U)$  was arbitrary, we conclude that f(U) is open.

(ii) Assume that f is not a constant map. As  $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  is an open mapping,  $f(\Omega)$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $z \in \Omega$ . As  $f(\Omega)$  is open, there is r > 0such that  $B(f(z),r) \subset f(\Omega)$ . Now, choose  $w' \in B(f(z),r)$  with |w'| > |f(z)|. Since  $B(f(z),r) \subset f(\Omega)$ , there is  $z' \in \Omega$  with f(z') = w'. Hence, |f(z')| > |f(z)|.

By the above argument, for every  $z \in \Omega$ , there is  $z' \in \Omega$  such that |f(z')| > |f(z)|. This implies the maximum principle.

**3.5:** First show that the linear map h(z) = az + b and the inversion h(z) = 1/z map lines and circles to lines and circles. Then show that any Mobius transformation may be written as composition of these maps.

**3.6:** First show that there are at most finite number of points  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_d$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $g(a_i) = 0$ .

Consider the function

$$h(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \overline{a_j} z}$$

and show that it maps  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{D}$  and maps  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  to  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ .

Consider the rational function  $\varphi(z) = g(z)/h(z)$ . Show that there are no points in  $\mathbb{D} \cup \partial \mathbb{D}$  that are mapped to 0.

Show that  $\varphi$  maps  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  to  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ . Conclude that for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  we have  $\varphi(z) = 1/\overline{\varphi(1/\overline{z})}$ , where  $\overline{z}$  denotes the complex conjugate of z.

By the above two paragraphs, there are no points in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  which are mapped to 0 by  $\varphi$ . This implies that  $\varphi$  is a constant function, which must belong to  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ .

#### Chapter 4

**4.1:** You need to verify the three conditions for being a metric.

Property (i): This is obvious from the definition of the length of a curve. That is, the length of a curve is independent of the parametrization and the direction of the curve.

Property (ii): Since the length of any curve is non-negative, the infimum of a set of non-negative numbers is a non-negative number.

If z = w, then the constant curve from z to w has zero length with respect to  $\rho$ . Thus,  $d_{\rho}(z, z) = 0.$ 

Now assume that  $z \neq w$  and let r = |z - w| > 0. Since  $\Omega$  is open there is  $r_1 > 0$ such that  $B(z, r_1) \subset \Omega$ . Also, as the set of zero's of  $\rho$  is discrete, there is a positive  $\varepsilon < \min\{r, r_1\}$  such that  $\rho$  has at most one zero on  $B(z, \varepsilon)$  (the only possible zero is z). Consider the compact set  $A = \{\zeta \in \Omega \mid \varepsilon/4 \leq |z - \zeta| \leq \varepsilon/2\}$ , which is contained in  $\Omega$ . The function  $\rho$  is continuous and positive on A, and hence its minimum on A is strictly positive, say m > 0.

Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \to \Omega$  be a piece-wise  $C^1$  curve with  $\gamma(a) = z$  and  $\gamma(b) = w$ . Then,

$$\ell_{\rho}(\gamma) = \int_{[a,b]} \rho(\gamma(s)) |\gamma'(s)| ds \ge \int_{\{t \in [a,b]; \gamma(t) \in A} \rho(\gamma(s)) |\gamma'(s)| ds$$
$$\ge m \cdot \int_{\{t \in [a,b]; \gamma(t) \in A} |\gamma'(s)| ds \ge m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

As  $m\varepsilon/4$  does not depend on  $\gamma$ , by the definition of infimum,  $d_{\rho}(z, w) \ge m\varepsilon/4$ . Hence,  $d_{\rho}(z, w) > 0$ .

By the above paragraphs,  $d_{\rho}(z, w) = 0$  iff z = w.

Property (iii): Let  $\eta$  a piece-wise  $C^1$  curve connecting x to z, and  $\xi$  a piece-wise  $C^1$  curve connecting z to y. Then  $\eta$  followed by  $\xi$  is a piece-wise  $C^1$  curve connecting x to y. By definition,

$$d_{\rho}(x,y) \le \ell_{\rho}(\eta \cup \xi) = \ell_{\rho}(\eta) + \ell_{\rho}(\xi).$$

Now, take infimum over  $\Gamma_{x,z}$ , and then over  $\Gamma_{z,y}$  to conclude the triangle inequality.

**4.2:** First note that  $\rho \ge 1$ , which implies that  $d_{\rho}(z, w) \ge |z - w|$ . In particular, if  $z_i$  converges to z w.r.t  $d_{\rho}$ , then,  $|z_i - z| \to 0$ .

On the other hand, if  $z_i$  converges to z w.r.t Euclidean distance, then, there is r < 1such that  $z_i \in B(0,r)$ , for all i. Now, let M be the supremum of  $\rho$  on B(0,r). M is a finite number. We have  $d_{\rho}(z_i, z) \leq M |z_i - z|$ . Hence,  $d_{\rho}(z_i, z) \to 0$ .

**4.3:** Let  $z_i$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{D}, \rho)$ . First show that there is r < 1 such that for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $z_i \in B(0, r)$ . Then conclude that  $z_i$  is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t the Euclidean distance.

**4.4:** Use an isometry of the dist to map z to 0. Then use that the Mobius transformations map circles to circles in Exercise 3.5.

**4.5:** Show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Gamma_{z,w}$  and  $\Gamma_{f(z),f(w)}$ .

4.6: By definition,

$$(F^*\rho)(w) = \rho(F(w)) \cdot |F'(w)| = \frac{1}{1 - |\frac{i-w}{i+w}|^2} \cdot \frac{2}{|i+w|^2} = \frac{2}{|i+w|^2 - |i-w|^2} = \frac{1}{2|\operatorname{Im} w|}.$$

#### Chapter 5

**5.1:** Write the circle of radius r as  $re^{i\theta}$ , and note that

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = (r+1/r)\cos\theta + i(r-1/r)\sin\theta,$$

and use the identity  $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \equiv 1$ .

**5.2:** From Example 5.6, replace sin and cos in terms of  $e^{iz}$  in  $\tan z = \sin z / \cos z$ .

**5.3:** On can do this by composition of a number of elementary transformations. First apply the biholomorphism  $g_1(z) = i\frac{1-w}{1+w}$  (see Equation 2.1) to  $\Omega$  to obtain  $\mathbb{H} \setminus [0, 1/3]i$ . Then apply  $g_2(z) = -i \cdot z$  to get  $B = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} w > 0\} \setminus (0, 1/3)$ . Next, apply  $g_3(z) = z^2$ , to obtain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 1/3)$ , then apply  $g_4(z) = z - 1/3$  to obtain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0)$ , and then apply  $g_5(z) = \sqrt{z}$  to obtain the right half plane.

5.4: If a family of maps  $\mathcal{F}$  is not uniformly bounded on compact sets, then there is a compact set  $E \subset \Omega$  such that the family is not uniformly bounded on E. This means that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $z_n \in E$  and  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $|f_n(z)| \geq n$ . Since E is compact,  $\{z_n\}$  has a sub-sequence, say  $n_k$ , converging to some  $z \in E$ . It follows that the sequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  has no sub-sequence converging uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . That is because, if there is a sub-sequence of  $\{f_{m_k}\}$  converging to some  $g: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ , then  $g(z) = \lim f_{m_k}(z_{m_k}) = \infty$ . This is a contradiction as g maps  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**5.5:** Properties (i) and (ii) are easy to see. For property (iii) introduce the function h(r) = r/(1+r), for  $r \ge 0$ . Prove  $h(a+b) \le h(a) + h(b)$  for all a and b in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**5.6:** First show that the functions

$$d_i''(f,g) = \frac{\sup_{E_i} |f(z) - g(z)|}{1 + \sup_{E_i} |f(z) - g(z)|}$$

satisfy the conditions for a metric on  $C^0(E_i)$ . Then prove that the sum of such metrics (multiplied by  $1/2^i$  to make the sum convergent) is a metric on  $\Omega$ , provided  $E_i$  form an exhaustion of  $\Omega$ .

**5.8:** Use Proposition 5.10. That is, if  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$  then  $f'_n \to f'$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ .

**5.9:** By Theorem 5.15, it is enough to show that the family is uniformly bounded on compact sets. Let E be a compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . There is r < 1 such that  $E \subset B(0, r)$ . Then, for all  $z \in E$  we have

$$|f(z)| \le r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n z^n| \le r + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} nr^n \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} nr^n = M_r < \infty.$$

This means that the family is uniformly bounded from above on E.

5.10: See proof of Theorem 5.15

#### Chapter 6

**6.1:** [complete solution] By Montel's theorem from the lecture notes (Theorem 5.15) it is enough to show that the family S is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let Ebe an arbitrary compact set in  $\mathbb{D}$ . There is  $\delta < 1$  such that  $E \subset B(0, \delta)$ . By the growth theorem, 6.9, for every  $z \in E$  we have

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^2} \le \frac{\delta}{(1-\delta)^2} < \infty.$$

As the upper bound only depends on E, we conclude that the family is uniformly bounded on E.

**6.2:** First show that  $|f'(0)| \le 4c$ . Then apply Theorem 6.9 to an appropriately normalized map.

**6.3:** [complete solution] (i) For every  $k \ge 2$ , the set  $\Lambda_k$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If this is not true, there is a sequence of maps  $f_n$  in S such that  $f_n^{(k)}(0) \to \infty$ . By Exercise 6.1, S is a normal family and there must be a sub-sequence of  $f_n$  that converges uniformly on compact sets to some holomorphic maps  $g : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $g^{(k)}(0)$  is defined and finite. This contradicts the convergence of  $f_n^{(k)}(0) \to g^{(k)}(0)$  guaranteed in Theorem 5.10.

By the above paragraph, for every  $k \ge 2$ , the set

$$A_k = \{ |w| \mid w \in \Lambda_k \}$$

is bounded from above. This set is also non-empty as it contains 0; the k-th derivative of the identity map in S. It follows that the above set has a supremum which is finite. Let  $r_k$  denote the supremum of the above set. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_k \subseteq \{ w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| \le r_k \}.$$

Fix an arbitrary  $k \geq 2$ .

By the definition of supremum, either  $r_k$  belongs to A or there is a sequence of real numbers  $a_i \in A_k$ , for  $i \ge 1$ , such that  $a_i \to r_k$ . In the former case we conclude that there is  $f \in S$  such that  $|f^{(k)}(0)| = r_k$ . In the latter case, let  $f_i \in S$  be such that  $|f_i^{(k)}(0)| = a_i$ . There is a sub-sequence of  $f_i$  that converges to some map g in S. We must have  $|g^{(k)}(0)| = r_k$ . So, there is always an  $f \in S$  such that  $|f^{(k)}(0)| = r_k$ . By the above paragraph there is a point on the circle  $|w| = r_k$  that belongs to  $\Lambda_k$ . The operations of rotation and dilatation discussed in the lecture notes show that  $\Lambda_k$  is invariant under rotations about 0 and is invariant under multiplication by  $r \in (0, 1)$ . We also showed earlier that 0 belongs to  $\Lambda_k$ . This proves that the above inclusion is equality.

(ii) By the Cauchy integral formula for the derivatives, for every  $r \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$f^{(k)}(0) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz.$$

Then by the growth theorem, Theorem 6.9, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |f^{(k)}(0)| &= \frac{k!}{2\pi} \Big| \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{k+1}} \, dz \Big| \leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{|f(z)|}{r^{k+1}} \, |dz| \\ &\leq \frac{k!}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r}{(1-r)^2 r^{k+1}} \, r d\theta \leq \frac{k!r}{(1-r)^2 r^k}. \end{split}$$

The above bound holds for all  $r \in (0,1)$ . We may find the minimum of the function  $\frac{k!r}{(1-r)^2r^k}$  on (0,1), by differentiating the function. The minimum occurs at r = 1 - 1/k, and the minimum value is

$$\frac{k!k^2}{(1-1/k)^{k-1}}.$$

The denominator of the above expression tends to the constant e as  $k \to \infty$ . Hence, the denominator is uniformly bounded away from 0, independent of k.

**6.5:** (i) Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two such maps. Apply the Schwarz lemma, 2.1, to the maps  $\varphi_2^{-1} \circ \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_2$ .

(ii) The upper bound follows from the 1/4-theorem, the lower bound follows from the Schwarz lemma.

**6.6:**[complete solution] Let  $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a smooth simple closed curve. Then,  $\gamma$  bounds a convex region if the slope of the tangent to  $\gamma$  is increasing. This is equivalent to saying that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\arg\gamma'(t)\right) > 0, \forall t \in [0,1].$$
(8.1)

For instance, for the curve  $\gamma_0(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\gamma'_0(t) = 2\pi i e^{2\pi i t}$ . Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \gamma_0'(t) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\pi/2 + 2\pi t) = 2\pi > 0.$$

So, for the inequality in Equation (8.1) to hold, it is enough to have

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\arg\gamma'(t)\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\arg\gamma'_{0}(t)\right)\right| \leq \pi.$$
(8.2)

Next we note that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\arg \gamma'(t) \text{ is given in terms of } \gamma' \text{ and } \gamma''$ . This implies that, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if for all  $t \in [0, 1, \text{ if we have}$ 

$$|\gamma_0'(t) - \gamma'(t)| \le \delta, \quad |\gamma_0''(t) - \gamma''(t)| \le \delta, \tag{8.3}$$

then Equation (8.2) holds. (In other words, if a closed curve  $\gamma$ , is close enough to  $\gamma_0$  in  $C^0$ ,  $C^1$ , and  $C^2$  metrics, then it bounds a convex region containing 0.)

For an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  let

$$f_r(z) = \frac{1}{r} \cdot f(r \cdot z), \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We have,  $f(B(0,r)) = r \cdot f_r(\mathbb{D})$ . In particular, f(B(0,r)) is a convex region, iff  $f_r(\mathbb{D})$  is a convex region. We aim to show that for small enough r, independent of  $f \in S$ ,  $f_r(\mathbb{D})$ is convex. As  $\gamma_0$  is the image of the circle |z| = 1 under the identity map, by virtue of Equation 8.3, it is enough to show that for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$|f'_r(z) - 1| \le \delta, \quad |f''_r(z) - 0| \le \delta.$$
 (8.4)

However,  $f'_r(z) = f'(rz)$ , and  $f''_r(z) = f''(rz) \cdot r$ . It follows from the distortion theorems 6.7 and 6.6, that for small enough r, independent of f, one may guarantee the above inequalities. This completes the proof.

#### Chapter 7

**7.2:** These may be reduced to the usual derivatives with respect to x and y using the formulas in Equation (7.5).

**7.3:** Define the map  $h(z) = g^{-1} \circ f$  from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Show that  $\partial h/\partial \overline{z} \equiv 0$ , that is, h is 1-quasi-conformal. Then apply Corollary 7.9 to h to conclude that  $h : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and one-to-one. As h(0) = 0 and h(1) = 1, by 3.15, h must be the identity map.

**7.4:** Use the definition of absolute continuity with  $\varepsilon = 1$  to obtain some  $\delta$ . Then, [a, b] is covered by at most  $N = \lfloor |b - a|/\delta \rfloor + 1$  number of intervals of length bounded by  $\delta$ .

**7.5:** Assume that a sequence  $f^{\circ n_k}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of U. By the open mapping property of f, V = f(U) is open, and one can show that the sequence of functions  $f^{\circ n_k-1}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of V. This shows that  $R(\mathcal{F}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(R)$ . The argument in the other direction is similar, and uses the  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open.

**7.6:** [complete solution]

(i): Let us define the function  $g(z) = R^{\circ n}(z)$ . Let  $\delta = |g'(z_0)| < 1$  and choose  $\delta' \in (\delta, 1)$ . By the continuity of  $z \mapsto g'(z)$  there is r > 0 such that for all  $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $d(z, z_0) < r$  we have  $|g'(z)| \leq \delta'$ . Let  $U = \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : d(z, z_0) < r\}$ . Now, for  $z \in U$  we have

$$d(g(z), z_0) = d(g(z), g(z_0)) \le \sup_{c \in U} |g'(c)| \cdot d(z, z_0) \le \delta' r < r.$$

This implies that g maps U into U. In particular, for  $z \in U$  the iterates  $g^{\circ n}(z)$ , for  $n \ge 1$ , are all defined and belong to U.

For  $z \in U$  we have

$$d(g^{\circ k}(z), z_0) = d(g^{\circ k}(z), g^{\circ k}(z_0)) \le \sup_{c \in U} |(g^{\circ k})'(c)| \cdot d(z, z_0) \le (\delta')^k \cdot r.$$

Since  $\delta' < 1$ ,  $(\delta')^k \cdot r$  tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Hence, the iterates  $g^{\circ k}$  converge uniformly on U to the constant map  $z_0$ . In particular, the iterates  $g^{\circ k} = R^{\circ nk}$ , for  $k \ge 1$ , converges uniformly on compact sets in U to the constant function  $z_0$ .

(ii): Let us in the contrary assume that there is an open neighborhood U of  $z_0$  and a sequence of iterates  $R^{\circ k_m}$ , for  $m \ge 1$ , which converges on compact subsets of U to some holomorphic map  $g: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Consider the integers  $k_m$  modulo n, and observe that there must be a sub-sequence of  $k_m$ , denoted by  $j_m$ , that are the same modulo n. That is, there are integers  $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and an integer  $r \ge 0$  such that  $j_m = t_m n + r$ . Then,

$$(R^{\circ j_m})'(z_0) = (R^{\circ r} \circ (R^{\circ n})^{\circ t_m})'(z_0) = (R^{\circ r})'(z_0) \cdot \delta^{t_n}$$

As  $\delta > 1$ , we conclude that  $(R^{\circ j_m})'(z_0)$  tends to infinity. But, by Theorem 5.10, we must have  $g'(z_0) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (R^{\circ j_m})'(z_0) = \infty$ . This contradiction shows that there is no convergent sub-sequence on any neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

(iii): Let  $g = R^{\circ qn}(z)$ . We have  $g(z_0) = z_0$  and  $g'(z_0) = 1$ . There is a neighborhood of  $z_0$  on which g has a convergent power series  $g(z) = z_0 + (z - z_0) + a_d(z - z_0)^d + \ldots$  with  $a_d \neq 0$ . A basic calculation shows that  $g^{\circ k}(z) = z_0 + (z - z_0) + ka_d(z - z_0)^d + \ldots$  This implies that the *d*-th derivatives  $(g^{\circ k})^{(d)}(z_0)$  tend to  $\infty$ . As in part (ii), this implies that  $g^{\circ k}$  has no sub-sequence that converges uniformly on compact sets on a neighborhood of  $z_0$ .

Assume that there is a sub-sequence  $R^{\circ k_m}$  that converges on some open set U containing  $z_0$ . Let  $k_m = (qn)t_m + r_m$  with integers  $t_m$  and  $0 \le r_m \le qn - 1$ . There is a further sub-sequence of  $k_m$  such that  $r_m$  are equal for different values of m. Let  $r = r_m$  be this constant. It follows that  $R^{\circ(qn)t_{m+1}} = R^{\circ(qn-r)} \circ R^{\circ k_m}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of U. This contradicts the above paragraph.

# **Bibliography**

- [Ahl78] Lars V. Ahlfors, Complex analysis, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978, An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. MR 510197
- [AIM09] Kari Astala, Tadeusz Iwaniec, and Gaven Martin, Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 48, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2472875
- [Dur83] Peter L. Duren, Univalent functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR 708494 (85j:30034)
- [Fol99] Gerald B. Folland, *Real analysis*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999, Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1681462
- [Kra90] Steven G. Krantz, Complex analysis: the geometric viewpoint, Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1990. MR 1074176
- [Kra06] \_\_\_\_\_, Geometric function theory, Cornerstones, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006, Explorations in complex analysis. MR 2167675
- [LV73] O. Lehto and K. I. Virtanen, Quasiconformal mappings in the plane, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973, Translated from the German by K. W. Lucas, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 126. MR 0344463 (49 #9202)
- [Rud87] Walter Rudin, Real and complex analysis, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. MR 924157
- [SS03] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, *Complex analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, II, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003. MR 1976398
- [Tsu59] M. Tsuji, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1959. MR 0114894