

A THERMODYNAMIC PATH METRIC FOR COMPLEX HÉNON MAPS

FABRIZIO BIANCHI AND YAN MARY HE

ABSTRACT. We construct a Hermitian covariance form on hyperbolic components in parameter spaces of complex Hénon maps, associated to the full complex unstable derivative cocycle. The form measures infinitesimal variations in the marked complex unstable multiplier spectrum. Using a recent multiplier rigidity theorem by Cantat–Dujardin, we prove that it induces a distance on every hyperbolic component.

Motivated by Sullivan’s dictionary and by the thermodynamic interpretation of the Weil–Petersson metric, our result gives a first higher-dimensional holomorphic-dynamical counterpart of pressure-type metric structures. On the other hand, the construction differs from the one-dimensional theory in an essential way: it replaces the real geometric potential measuring unstable expansion by the full complex unstable derivative cocycle. This also suggests a complex derivative cocycle counterpart to pressure-type metric structures in Teichmüller theory and Anosov representation theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Weil–Petersson metric originates in Teichmüller theory and has been a central object in the differential geometry of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces; see, for instance, the classical works of Ahlfors [Ahl61] and Wolpert [Wol86]. Through Sullivan’s dictionary [Sul83; McM95], holomorphic dynamics, Kleinian groups, and Teichmüller theory are connected by a rich network of analogies, with stable components in dynamical parameter spaces playing a role analogous to Teichmüller spaces of hyperbolic surfaces. Motivated by this analogy and by the thermodynamic interpretation of the Weil–Petersson metric on Teichmüller spaces, McMullen [McM08] introduced the Weil–Petersson metric for Blaschke products. The geometry of these metrics for Blaschke products was further studied by Ivrii [Ivr14], and more recently by the second author, Lee, and Park [HLP24; HLP25]. For general hyperbolic components in moduli spaces of rational maps, the second author and Nie constructed a Weil–Petersson type Riemannian metric [HN23]. This construction has also been extended in our earlier papers [BH24; BH25] to parabolic and Misiurewicz polynomial families. Together, these works are part of a broader program of developing differential-geometric structures on families of holomorphic dynamical systems via thermodynamic formalism.

In this paper, we take a first step toward extending this differential geometric aspect of Sullivan’s dictionary to *higher-dimensional* holomorphic dynamics, by studying hyperbolic components of complex Hénon maps. Beyond holomorphic dynamics, the construction points toward analogous Hermitian covariance forms for complex Anosov representations, in parallel with the pressure metrics of Bridgeman–Canary–Labourie–Sambarino [BCLS15]. Let Ω be a hyperbolic component in the space $\mathcal{H}_d^1$ of Hénon maps of algebraic degree d , or more generally in the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{a}}^k$ of compositions of k Hénon maps with given multidegree and multi-Jacobian; see Section 2.1 for the precise definitions. We construct a *complex multiplier covariance* form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cmc}}$ on Ω . It is a positive semi-definite Hermitian form designed to capture the variation of the complex unstable multiplier data under deformation.

The construction of the complex multiplier covariance form differs in an essential way from the related pressure and Weil–Petersson type metrics in the one-dimensional theory, which are constructed by using a real geometric potential, such as the logarithm of the expansion. For Hénon

maps, however, we replace the real unstable expansion potential by the full complex unstable derivative cocycle, and the standard asymptotic covariance from thermodynamic formalism by an augmented asymptotic covariance. The resulting form is therefore not a pressure form in the usual sense, but rather a Hermitian covariance form on the Livšic class of the complex unstable cocycle.

Thanks to the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cmc}}$ and its positive semi-definiteness, we can define an associated length for piecewise C^1 -paths $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ as

$$L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \sqrt{Q(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt,$$

where $Q(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) := \langle \dot{\gamma}(t)^{(1,0)}, \dot{\gamma}(t)^{(1,0)} \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \gamma(t)}$ is the real quadratic form associated to the Hermitian form. This defines a path pseudo-distance

$$d_{\text{cmc}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \inf_{\gamma} L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma)$$

on Ω , where the infimum is taken over all piecewise C^1 -paths γ in Ω joining λ_1 to λ_2 . Although the Hermitian form is only positive semi-definite, and the above infimum could a priori vanish also for non-constant paths, our main theorem shows that the complex unstable multiplier data is rich enough to turn d_{cmc} into a non-degenerate global path distance on Ω .

Theorem 1.1. *The path pseudo-distance d_{cmc} is a distance on Ω .*

For hyperbolic families of rational maps, a multiplier distribution result by Oh–Winter [OW17] allows one to precisely control the variation of the absolute values of the multipliers, and to prove that the corresponding metric is non-degenerate at a given point [HN23]. In our setting, such a result is not available, but we can instead follow the more flexible approach that we developed in [BH24; BH25] to treat parabolic and Misiurewicz polynomial families, combining it with a recent rigidity theorem by Cantat–Dujardin [CD26]. We give an overview of the main ideas in the next section.

1.1. Strategy of the proof. We first briefly describe the construction of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cmc}}$. Fix $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$. By structural stability, after passing to a symbolic model $\sigma: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ of the model dynamics of the hyperbolic component, the unstable derivative of f_λ defines a complex-valued multiplicative cocycle $A_\lambda: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. After choosing a local branch, write $\zeta_\lambda := \log A_\lambda$ and $\phi_\lambda := \text{Re } \zeta_\lambda = \log |A_\lambda|$.

Denote by P_σ the topological pressure of σ and define $\delta(\lambda)$ to be the unique positive real number satisfying $P_\sigma(-\delta(\lambda)\phi_\lambda) = 0$. Let ν_{λ_0} be the equilibrium state for the potential $-\delta(\lambda_0)\phi_{\lambda_0}$. We define the *complex multiplier covariance form* at λ_0 by

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0} := \text{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda_0}}^\# \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \zeta_\lambda \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}, \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \zeta_\lambda \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \right), \quad v, w \in T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0} \Omega.$$

Here $\text{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda_0}}^\#$ denotes the augmented asymptotic covariance; see Section 2.6. The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{cmc}}$ also admits another interpretation as the Hessian form of a real-analytic function. Indeed, consider the function

$$G_{\lambda_0}(\lambda) := \text{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda_0}}^\# (\zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}).$$

It is not difficult to see that G_{λ_0} has a local minimum at λ_0 , which implies that its complex Hessian is well-defined. One can prove that this complex Hessian recovers the complex multiplier covariance form.

Geometrically speaking, the complex multiplier covariance form measures infinitesimal variations of the marked complex unstable multiplier spectrum. A crucial property is that a tangent vector has zero norm if and only if the corresponding infinitesimal variation of the complex unstable cocycle is cohomologous to 0. Thanks to this characterization, we can prove that along any path of zero length, the marked unstable multiplier spectrum is constant. The recent multiplier rigidity theorem

by Cantat–Dujardin [CD26] implies that every zero-length path in Ω is constant. This plays, in the present setting, the role played by the one-dimensional multiplier rigidity results of Ji–Xie [JX23] in our earlier works [BH24; BH25]. Finally, using the real-analytic dependence of the form on the tangent bundle, a reduction argument upgrades this positivity for individual non-constant paths to separation of points by the induced path pseudo-distance, proving Theorem 1.1.

1.2. Anosov representations. We expect this point of view to be useful beyond holomorphic dynamics. Via higher rank Sullivan’s dictionary, a natural parallel setting is the theory of Anosov representations, where thermodynamic formalism has played a central role in the construction of pressure metrics. More specifically, for Anosov representations into real semisimple Lie groups, Bridgeman–Canary–Labourie–Sambarino [BCLS15] constructed pressure metrics from the thermodynamic formalism applied to real length functions, such as spectral radius or Jordan projection data.

For holomorphic families of Anosov representations into complex Lie groups, one may instead consider the logarithm of a distinguished complex eigenvalue, or more generally a complex weight cocycle, as a complex-valued Hölder cocycle over the associated flow. The construction in the present paper suggests that one can form a Hermitian covariance form on the Livšic class of this complex cocycle, measuring infinitesimal variations of the marked complex spectral data. This is in the same spirit as the cocycle and cross ratio formalism of Hamenstädt [Ham97; Ham99] and the pressure metric theory for Anosov representations.

1.3. Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic results about Hénon maps and their moduli spaces. In Section 3, we define the complex multiplier covariance form and characterize its vanishing directions. In Section 4, we prove the analyticity of the form on the tangent bundle and show that non-constant piecewise C^1 -paths have positive length. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5 by a reduction argument, made possible by the analyticity of the form.

Acknowledgments. This project has received funding from the Programme Investissement d’Avenir (ANR TIGerS, ANR-24-CE40-3604) and from the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics of the University of Pisa, CUP I57G22000700001. The first author is affiliated to the GNSAGA group of INdAM.

2. HYPERBOLIC COMPONENTS, STRUCTURAL CONJUGACIES, AND UNSTABLE COCYCLES

In this section, we recall the basic dynamical properties of Hénon maps that we will need and fix the notation used throughout the paper.

2.1. Parameter spaces of complex Hénon maps. By the Friedland–Milnor classification [FM89], every polynomial automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$ of positive entropy is conjugate to a finite composition of generalized Hénon maps. Following the normal forms used in [CD26], fix a multidegree $\mathbf{d} = (d_k, \dots, d_1) \in (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2})^k$. A *normalized generalized Hénon map of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$* is a map $f = h_k \circ \dots \circ h_1$, where

$$h_i(x, y) = (a_i y + p_i(x), x), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

and $p_i(x)$ is monic and centered of degree d_i . We write

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_k, \dots, a_1) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$$

for the *multi-Jacobian parameter*.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}^k$ be the space of normalized Hénon maps of multidegree $\mathbf{d}$. As an algebraic variety, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}^k \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^k \times \mathbb{C}^{d_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^{d_k-1}$. If the multi-Jacobian parameter $\mathbf{a}$ is fixed, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}}^k \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d}}^k$ the corresponding fixed multi-Jacobian slice. When $k = 1$, we write simply $\mathcal{H}_d^1$ for the space of normalized Hénon maps of degree d .

Remark 2.1. The normal form removes the continuous affine conjugacy freedom. It is not unique, but the remaining ambiguity is finite. It is generated by permutations of the factors, when compatible with the multidegree, and by a diagonal action of roots of unity; see [CD26, Section 1.2]. Thus the *moduli space* is a finite quotient of the normal-form space. Since this residual ambiguity is finite, it creates no infinitesimal tangent directions. We shall therefore construct the forms on the smooth normal-form spaces $\mathcal{H}_d^1$ or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{a}}^k$, and note that the construction descends to the corresponding finite quotient moduli space. We observe that, when $k = 1$, it is not necessary to fix the Jacobian, as no ambiguity from its decomposition can arise in this case.

2.2. Basic properties of Hénon maps. Given a (normalized generalized) Hénon map f of positive entropy, we will denote by J_f^* the closure of the saddle periodic points of f . Equivalently, this is the support of the unique measure of maximal entropy of f [BLS93b; BLS93a]. The measure of maximal entropy is mixing [BS92], see also [BLS93b; Din05; BD24] for more precise results. It follows immediately that $f|_{J_f^*}$ is topologically mixing.

Definition 2.2. A polynomial automorphism f of $\mathbb{C}^2$ is *hyperbolic* (on J_f^*) if J_f^* is a uniformly hyperbolic set for f , i.e., there is a continuous Df -invariant splitting

$$T_{J_f^*}\mathbb{C}^2 = E_f^s \oplus E_f^u$$

and constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$ such that, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\|Df^n|_{E_f^s}\| \leq C\rho^n, \quad \|Df^{-n}|_{E_f^u}\| \leq C\rho^n.$$

In this paper, we will be mostly concerned with hyperbolic Hénon maps in the above sense, and restrict ourselves to the dynamics of $f|_{J_f^*}$.

We observe here that there exists another natural Julia set for Hénon maps. Namely, one sets $J_f := J_f^+ \cap J_f^-$, where

$$J_f^\pm := \partial K_f^\pm, \quad K_f^\pm := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \{f^{\pm n}(z)\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\},$$

see [BS91]. For maps that are hyperbolic on J_f^* , the sets J_f^* and J_f coincide by [Duj20]. It is an open question whether $J_f = J_f^*$ in general, see for instance [Jul24] for results and references in this direction.

2.3. Weak stability and hyperbolic components. We let Λ be either $\mathcal{H}_d^1$ or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{a}}^k$. We denote the corresponding holomorphic family by $\lambda \mapsto f_\lambda$. Following Dujardin–Lyubich [DL15], such a family is called *weakly stable* on an open subset of Λ if periodic orbits do not bifurcate there, i.e., if no periodic orbit changes type by having an eigenvalue cross the unit circle. We refer to [DL15] for several equivalence characterizations. This notion is the two-dimensional analogue, in the Hénon case, of the J -stability for rational maps on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ [Lyu83; MSS83]; see [BBD18] for the case of higher dimensional endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, Λ is naturally decomposed into two complementary parts: a (weak) stability set, where no bifurcations arise, and a bifurcation set.

An important feature of the one-dimensional theory of stability and bifurcation [Lyu83; MSS83] is that, as soon as a connected component Ω of the stability set contains a hyperbolic rational map, all maps in the same component share the same property. In the case of Hénon maps, this property has been established by Berger–Dujardin [BD17]. It thus makes sense to talk about *hyperbolic components*. These are the connected components of the *hyperbolic locus* of Λ (the set of maps f_λ which are hyperbolic on J_λ^*). Equivalently, it is a connected component of the stability set containing one hyperbolic parameter (and hence consisting of hyperbolic parameters).

Throughout the paper, we fix a hyperbolic component $\Omega \subset \Lambda$, with $\Lambda = \mathcal{H}_d^1$ or $\Lambda = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{a}}^k$. Fix a base parameter $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$, and write $f_0 := f_{\lambda_0}$ and $J_0^* := J_{\lambda_0}^*$. By structural stability of uniformly

hyperbolic sets, after possibly shrinking to a simply connected neighborhood $U \Subset \Omega$ of λ_0 , there exist conjugacies $h_\lambda: J_0^* \rightarrow J_\lambda^*$ satisfying $h_{\lambda_0} = \text{id}$ and $h_\lambda \circ f_0 = f_\lambda \circ h_\lambda$. Moreover, for each $x \in J_0^*$, the map $\lambda \mapsto h_\lambda(x)$ is holomorphic, and the maps h_λ are Hölder continuous with respect to x , uniformly for $\lambda \in U$.

We fix a Markov partition for $f_0|_{J_0^*}$. Passing to the associated symbolic model, we obtain a subshift of finite type $\sigma: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ and a coding map $\pi_0: \Sigma_A \rightarrow J_0^*$ satisfying $\pi_0 \circ \sigma = f_0 \circ \pi_0$. For $\lambda \in U$, set $\pi_\lambda := h_\lambda \circ \pi_0$. Then we have $\pi_\lambda \circ \sigma = f_\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda$.

2.4. The unstable bundle and the complex derivative cocycle. Let Ω and U be as above. For each $\lambda \in U$, the hyperbolic splitting gives a fibration $E_\lambda^u \rightarrow J_\lambda^*$. Pulling back by the coding map gives a complex line bundle $\pi_\lambda^* E_\lambda^u \rightarrow \Sigma_A$. Since Σ_A is totally disconnected, this line bundle is topologically trivial. One reason for working on the symbolic model is to avoid potential monodromy problems that could arise from trying to trivialize the unstable bundle directly on J_λ^* .

After possibly shrinking U , we choose a non-vanishing Hölder section $e_\lambda(x) \in E_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x)$, $x \in \Sigma_A$, depending holomorphically on λ and Hölder continuously on x .

Definition 2.3. The *complex unstable derivative cocycle* is the function $A_\lambda: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$Df_\lambda(\pi_\lambda x)e_\lambda(x) = A_\lambda(x)e_\lambda(\sigma x).$$

The function A_λ is a multiplicative cocycle over σ . We write

$$A_\lambda^{(n)}(x) := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} A_\lambda(\sigma^j x).$$

If $x \in \text{Per}_n(\sigma)$, then $A_\lambda^{(n)}(x) = \mu_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x)$, where $\mu_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x)$ denotes the unstable eigenvalue of Df_λ^n at the saddle periodic point $\pi_\lambda x$.

After possibly shrinking U , we choose a branch $\zeta_\lambda := \log A_\lambda \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$. We also set $\phi_\lambda := \text{Re } \zeta_\lambda = \log |A_\lambda|$. The following result follows from standard graph transform and structural stability arguments. We give a proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.4. *After possibly further shrinking U , the map $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_\lambda$ is holomorphic from U to $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $\lambda \mapsto \phi_\lambda$ is real-analytic from U to $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. By structural stability of the hyperbolic set, the conjugacies $\pi_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ \pi_0: \Sigma_A \rightarrow J_\lambda^*$ depend holomorphically on λ pointwise and Hölder continuously on x , uniformly for λ in a small neighborhood U . Moreover, for a holomorphic family of uniformly hyperbolic maps, the stable and unstable directions depend holomorphically on the parameter and Hölder continuously on the base point, with a fixed Hölder exponent. Thus the pulled-back unstable line $E_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x) \subset T_{\pi_\lambda x} \mathbb{C}^2$ depends holomorphically on λ and Hölder continuously on x . After possibly shrinking U , we choose a local non-vanishing Hölder frame

$$e_\lambda(x) \in E_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x), \quad x \in \Sigma_A,$$

depending holomorphically on λ as a C^α -section. With respect to this frame, the complex unstable derivative cocycle A_λ is defined by

$$Df_\lambda(\pi_\lambda x)e_\lambda(x) = A_\lambda(x)e_\lambda(\sigma x).$$

It follows from the above regularity of f_λ , π_λ , and e_λ that $\lambda \mapsto A_\lambda$ is holomorphic from U to $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

It remains to choose the logarithm. Fix a Hölder logarithm

$$\zeta_{\lambda_0} \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C}), \quad e^{\zeta_{\lambda_0}} = A_{\lambda_0}.$$

Such a logarithm exists for a non-vanishing Hölder function on a subshift of finite type, after choosing branches on sufficiently small cylinders. Since $A_\lambda/A_{\lambda_0} \rightarrow 1$ in $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, we may shrink U so that

$$\|A_\lambda/A_{\lambda_0} - 1\|_{C^\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U.$$

Then the principal logarithm $\text{Log}(\cdot)$ is defined by the convergent power series in the Banach algebra $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$, and we set

$$\zeta_\lambda := \zeta_{\lambda_0} + \text{Log}(A_\lambda/A_{\lambda_0}).$$

This gives a holomorphic map $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_\lambda \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying $e^{\zeta_\lambda} = A_\lambda$. Therefore $\phi_\lambda = \text{Re } \zeta_\lambda$ depends real-analytically on λ as a $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{R})$ -valued function. $\square$

Remark 2.5. If we change the Hölder frame by

$$e'_\lambda(x) = B_\lambda(x)e_\lambda(x), \quad B_\lambda(x) \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

then the cocycle changes to

$$A'_\lambda(x) = A_\lambda(x) \frac{B_\lambda(x)}{B_\lambda(\sigma x)}.$$

After choosing $b_\lambda = \log B_\lambda$, this gives

$$\zeta'_\lambda = \zeta_\lambda + b_\lambda - b_\lambda \circ \sigma.$$

Thus changing the frame changes the logarithmic cocycle only by a Hölder coboundary. In particular, the periodic sums $S_n \zeta_\lambda(x) = \zeta_\lambda(x) + \dots + \zeta_\lambda(\sigma^{n-1}(x))$ are independent of the frame and recover $\log \mu_\lambda^u(\pi_\lambda x)$ up to an additive constant in $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, whose derivative in parameter is zero.

2.5. Pressure. Let U be as above. For each $\lambda \in U$, define $\delta(\lambda) > 0$ by the equation $P_\sigma(-\delta(\lambda)\phi_\lambda) = 0$, where P_σ denotes topological pressure for the subshift σ . The next lemma in particular shows that $\delta(\lambda)$ is well-defined.

Lemma 2.6. *For every $\lambda \in U$, there is a unique number $\delta(\lambda) > 0$ satisfying $P_\sigma(-\delta(\lambda)\phi_\lambda) = 0$. Moreover, the map $\lambda \mapsto \delta(\lambda)$ is real-analytic on U .*

Proof. The potential ϕ_λ is cohomologous to the logarithm of the unstable Jacobian with respect to any smooth Hermitian metric on E_λ^u . Uniform hyperbolicity implies that every σ -invariant probability measure η satisfies $\int \phi_\lambda d\eta > 0$. It follows that the function $t \mapsto P_\sigma(-t\phi_\lambda)$ is strictly decreasing. Since $P_\sigma(0) = h_{\text{top}}(\sigma) > 0$ and $P_\sigma(-t\phi_\lambda) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, there is a unique zero $\delta(\lambda) > 0$.

The map $(t, \lambda) \mapsto P_\sigma(-t\phi_\lambda)$ is real-analytic, since the pressure is real-analytic for real-analytic families of Hölder potentials over a mixing subshift of finite type (see, e.g., [DTUZ26; PU10; PP90]) and $(t, \lambda) \mapsto -t\phi_\lambda$ is real-analytic. Moreover,

$$\partial_t P_\sigma(-t\phi_\lambda) = - \int \phi_\lambda d\nu_{t,\lambda} < 0$$

at the zero, where $\nu_{t,\lambda}$ is the equilibrium state of $-t\phi_\lambda$. The real-analytic implicit function theorem gives the real-analyticity of $\delta(\lambda)$. $\square$

Set $\delta_0 := \delta(\lambda_0)$, $\phi_0 := \phi_{\lambda_0}$, and let ν_0 be the equilibrium state for the potential $-\delta_0\phi_0$. Thus we have $P_\sigma(-\delta_0\phi_0) = 0$.

2.6. Augmented asymptotic covariance and the Livšic criterion. Take $g, h \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$, and write the Birkhoff sum $S_n g := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g \circ \sigma^j$. Define the *complex asymptotic covariance* by

$$\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(g, h) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \left(S_n g - n \int g d\nu_0 \right) \overline{\left(S_n h - n \int h d\nu_0 \right)} d\nu_0$$

and the *augmented covariance* by

$$\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(g, h) := \left(\int g d\nu_0 \right) \overline{\left(\int h d\nu_0 \right)} + \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(g, h).$$

Lemma 2.7. *For $g \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(g, g) = 0$ if and only if there exists a Hölder function $u: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g = u - u \circ \sigma$. In particular, if $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(g, g) = 0$, then $S_n g(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \text{Per}_n(\sigma)$.*

Proof. We first note that $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(g, g) = \left| \int g d\nu_0 \right|^2 + \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(g, g) = 0$ if and only if both $\int g d\nu_0$ and $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(g, g)$ vanish. By the usual variance criterion for mixing subshifts of finite type and Hölder potentials (see, e.g., [DTUZ26; PU10; PP90]), $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(g, g) = 0$ if and only if g is cohomologous to a constant, i.e., $g = c + u - u \circ \sigma$ for some Hölder continuous function $u: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In this case $\int g d\nu_0 = c$. Hence $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(g, g) = 0$ if and only if $g = c + u - u \circ \sigma$ and $c = 0$, i.e., $g = u - u \circ \sigma$.

Since $g = u - u \circ \sigma$, the periodic orbit statement follows by summing the coboundary identity along a periodic orbit. This completes the proof. $\square$

3. THE COMPLEX MULTIPLIER COVARIANCE FORM

In this section we define the complex multiplier covariance form. Throughout the section we fix a parameter $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ and a sufficiently small simply connected neighbourhood $U \Subset \Omega$ of λ_0 . We use the notation of Section 2: on the fixed symbolic model $\sigma: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ we have a holomorphic family of logarithmic unstable cocycles

$$\zeta_\lambda = \log A_\lambda \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C}), \quad \phi_\lambda = \text{Re } \zeta_\lambda = \log |A_\lambda|.$$

For simplicity, we set $\delta_0 := \delta(\lambda_0)$, $\phi_0 := \phi_{\lambda_0}$, and denote by ν_0 the equilibrium state of $-\delta_0 \phi_0$.

3.1. Definition and well-definedness. Since $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_\lambda$ is holomorphic as a $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$ -valued map, every vector $v \in T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0} \Omega$ defines an element

$$\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0} := \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \zeta_\lambda \right|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \in C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C}).$$

Equivalently, if $\lambda(t)$ is a holomorphic curve with $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ and $\lambda'(0) = v$, then

$$\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \zeta_{\lambda(t)}.$$

In local holomorphic coordinates $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, if $v = \sum_j v_j \partial / \partial \lambda_j$, then

$$\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \left. \frac{\partial \zeta_\lambda}{\partial \lambda_j} \right|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

Remark 3.1. Observe that if $x \in \text{Per}_n(\sigma)$ and $p_\lambda := \pi_\lambda(x)$ is the corresponding holomorphic continuation of the saddle periodic point, then the Birkhoff sum $S_n(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0})(x)$ gives the infinitesimal variation of the logarithms of unstable multipliers, i.e.,

$$S_n(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0})(x) = \partial_v \log \mu_\lambda^u(p_\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

The ambiguity in the logarithm is an additive constant in $2\pi i \mathbb{Z}$, hence has zero derivative.

We now define the *complex multiplier covariance form*.

Definition 3.2. For $v, w \in T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0}\Omega$, define

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0} := \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \partial_w \zeta_{\lambda_0}).$$

Proposition 3.3. *The complex multiplier covariance form is independent of the local branch of $\log A_\lambda$, of the Hölder frame of the pulled-back unstable bundle, and of the Markov coding used to represent $f_{\lambda_0}|_{J_{\lambda_0}^*}$. Hence it is intrinsically defined on $T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0}\Omega$.*

Proof. Changing the branch of $\log A_\lambda$ on the connected neighbourhood U changes ζ_λ by a constant in $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, independent of λ . Therefore $\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}$ is unchanged.

We next change the Hölder frame. If $e'_\lambda(x) = B_\lambda(x)e_\lambda(x)$, then, after choosing a local logarithm $b_\lambda = \log B_\lambda$, the logarithmic cocycle changes by $\zeta'_\lambda = \zeta_\lambda + b_\lambda - b_\lambda \circ \sigma$ by Remark 2.5. Hence

$$\partial_v \zeta'_{\lambda_0} = \partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0} + \partial_v b_{\lambda_0} - \partial_v b_{\lambda_0} \circ \sigma.$$

The added term is a Hölder coboundary. It has zero integral and zero asymptotic covariance with every Hölder function. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(\partial_v \zeta'_{\lambda_0}, \partial_w \zeta'_{\lambda_0}) = \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \partial_w \zeta_{\lambda_0}),$$

which gives the desired invariance.

Finally, two Markov codings admit a common symbolic refinement. Passing to the refinement pulls back the cocycle, the equilibrium state and the covariance form, and these quantities are unchanged under such a finite-to-one symbolic refinement. The periodic sums of ζ_λ are intrinsic, since they are the logarithms of the unstable multipliers. Thus the form computed using any Markov coding is the same. $\square$

3.2. Positivity and null directions.

Proposition 3.4. *The complex multiplier covariance form is Hermitian and positive semi-definite. Moreover, for $v \in T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0}\Omega$, we have*

$$\langle v, v \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0} = u - u \circ \sigma$$

for some Hölder continuous function $u: \Sigma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Hermitian symmetry and positive semi-definiteness follow from the corresponding properties of the augmented covariance $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#$. The nullity statement follows by applying Lemma 2.7 to $g = \partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}$. Thus $\langle v, v \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0} = 0$ if and only if $\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}$ is a Hölder coboundary. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *If $\langle v, v \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0} = 0$, then for every $x \in \text{Per}_n(\sigma)$, we have*

$$S_n(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0})(x) = 0.$$

Equivalently, every marked unstable multiplier has zero infinitesimal variation in the direction v .

Proof. Summing the coboundary identity given by Proposition 3.4 over a periodic orbit gives $S_n(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0})(x) = 0$. By Remark 3.1, this is the infinitesimal vanishing of the corresponding logarithmic unstable multiplier. $\square$

3.3. A Hessian interpretation. Here we give an interpretation of the complex multiplier covariance form as the Hessian of a non-negative real-analytic function. This interpretation will not be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, where the covariance form itself is enough, but helps to link our construction to those in [HN23; BH24; BH25], where a Hessian definition is the starting point.

We fix $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ and use the notation of the previous subsections. For λ in a sufficiently small simply connected neighbourhood U of λ_0 , set $q_\lambda := \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}$ and define

$$G_{\lambda_0}(\lambda) := \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(q_\lambda, q_\lambda).$$

Thus $G_{\lambda_0} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is obtained by measuring the variation of the complex unstable derivative cocycle from the base parameter λ_0 , relative to the equilibrium state ν_0 .

Proposition 3.6. *The function G_{λ_0} is independent of the choices of the local branch of $\log A_\lambda$ and of the Hölder frame of the pulled-back unstable bundle. It is real-analytic, satisfies $G_{\lambda_0} \geq 0$, and has a local minimum at λ_0 . Moreover, for every $v, w \in T_{\lambda_0}^{1,0}\Omega$, we have*

$$\partial_v \bar{\partial}_w G_{\lambda_0}(\lambda_0) = \langle v, w \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0}.$$

Proof. Changing the branch of $\log A_\lambda$ changes both ζ_λ and ζ_{λ_0} by the same constant in $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$, hence leaves q_λ unchanged. If we replace the Hölder frame by $e'_\lambda = B_\lambda e_\lambda$, and choose a local logarithm $b_\lambda = \log B_\lambda$, then $\zeta'_\lambda = \zeta_\lambda + b_\lambda - b_\lambda \circ \sigma$ by Remark 2.5. Therefore, we have

$$q'_\lambda = q_\lambda + r_\lambda - r_\lambda \circ \sigma, \quad \text{where } r_\lambda := b_\lambda - b_{\lambda_0}.$$

The added term is a Hölder coboundary. It has zero integral and zero asymptotic covariance with every Hölder function. Hence, we have

$$\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(q'_\lambda, q'_\lambda) = \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(q_\lambda, q_\lambda).$$

This proves the independence of the frame.

Since $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_\lambda$ is holomorphic as a $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$ -valued map and $\text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#$ is a continuous Hermitian sesquilinear form on $C^\alpha(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$, the function G_{λ_0} is real-analytic. It is non-negative by positivity of the augmented covariance, and $G_{\lambda_0}(\lambda_0) = 0$. Hence λ_0 is a local minimum.

It remains to compute the complex Hessian. Since $q_{\lambda_0} = 0$ and $\lambda \mapsto q_\lambda$ is holomorphic, all terms involving q_{λ_0} vanish when differentiating twice at λ_0 . Thus,

$$\partial_v \bar{\partial}_w \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(q_\lambda, q_\lambda)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(\partial_v \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \partial_w \zeta_{\lambda_0}).$$

By Definition 3.2, the right-hand side is precisely $\langle v, w \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda_0}$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. The above definition is given on the fixed symbolic model Σ_A . This can be viewed as a symbolic version of the geometric formulation using the pushed-forward equilibrium state as in [HN23; BH24; BH25]. Indeed, the structural conjugacy $h_\lambda : J_{\lambda_0}^* \rightarrow J_\lambda^*$ pushes ν_0 forward to an f_λ -invariant probability measure $\nu_{\lambda_0, \lambda} := (h_\lambda)_* \nu_0$ on J_λ^* . In symbolic coordinates, this corresponds to integrating ζ_λ against the fixed measure ν_0 on Σ_A . Thus, defining the *complex unstable Lyapunov functional based at λ_0* as

$$\text{Ly}_{\lambda_0}^{u, \mathbb{C}}(\lambda) := \int_{\Sigma_A} \zeta_\lambda d\nu_0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}^\#(\zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}) &= \left| \int_{\Sigma_A} \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0} d\nu_0 \right|^2 + \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(\zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}) \\ &= \left| \text{Ly}_{\lambda_0}^{u, \mathbb{C}}(\lambda) - \text{Ly}_{\lambda_0}^{u, \mathbb{C}}(\lambda_0) \right|^2 + \text{Cov}_{\nu_0}(\zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}, \zeta_\lambda - \zeta_{\lambda_0}). \end{aligned}$$

4. ANALYTICITY OF THE COMPLEX MULTIPLIER COVARIANCE FORM AND LENGTH VANISHING

In this section we prove the two main dynamical properties of the complex multiplier covariance form that will be used to obtain the path metric. First, we prove that the associated quadratic form on the real tangent bundle is real-analytic. Then we show that a path whose tangent vectors have zero norm has constant marked unstable multiplier spectrum. The multiplier rigidity theorem of Cantat–Dujardin [CD26] then implies that every non-constant piecewise C^1 -path has positive length.

4.1. Analyticity on the tangent bundle. Let $T_{\mathbb{R}}\Omega$ denote the real tangent bundle of Ω . If $\xi \in T_{\mathbb{R},\lambda}\Omega$, we write $\xi^{1,0} \in T_{\lambda}^{1,0}\Omega$ for its $(1,0)$ -part, so that in local holomorphic coordinates $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, if

$$\xi = \sum_j a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad \text{then} \quad \xi^{1,0} = \sum_j (a_j + ib_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}.$$

We define the real quadratic form associated to the complex multiplier covariance form by

$$Q(\lambda, \xi) := \langle \xi^{1,0}, \xi^{1,0} \rangle_{\text{cmc}, \lambda}.$$

Thus Q is a non-negative quadratic form on $T_{\mathbb{R}}\Omega$.

In local holomorphic coordinates, set

$$\dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} := \partial_{\xi^{1,0}} \zeta_{\lambda} \in C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_{\lambda}$ is holomorphic, if $\gamma(t)$ is a real C^1 -path with $\gamma(0) = \lambda$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \xi$, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \zeta_{\gamma(t)} = \partial_{\xi^{1,0}} \zeta_{\lambda} = \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}, \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\lambda, \xi) = \text{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda}}^{\#}(\dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}, \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}),$$

where ν_{λ} is the equilibrium state for $-\delta(\lambda)\phi_{\lambda}$.

The next proposition proves the analyticity of the form on the tangent bundle. We remark that in [BH24; BH25] the analyticity is proved by a different method, based on the extension techniques of [SU10; UZ04].

Proposition 4.1. *The map $Q: T_{\mathbb{R}}\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ given by $(\lambda, \xi) \mapsto Q(\lambda, \xi)$ is real-analytic. In particular, its restriction to the unit tangent bundle of Ω , with respect to any auxiliary real-analytic Riemannian metric, is real-analytic.*

Proof. We work in a holomorphic coordinate neighborhood $U \Subset \Omega$. By Proposition 2.4, the map $\lambda \mapsto \zeta_{\lambda}$ is holomorphic as a map from U to $C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the map

$$(\lambda, \xi) \mapsto \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} = \partial_{\xi^{1,0}} \zeta_{\lambda}$$

is real-analytic from $T_{\mathbb{R}}U$ to $C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$.

It remains to justify the real-analytic dependence of the covariance term. Set $F_{\lambda} := -\delta(\lambda)\phi_{\lambda}$. Then F_{λ} is a real-analytic family of real-valued Hölder potentials, and $P_{\sigma}(F_{\lambda}) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ be the associated Ruelle operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}u(x) := \sum_{\sigma(y)=x} e^{F_{\lambda}(y)} u(y).$$

Since F_{λ} depends real-analytically on λ , the family $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}: C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A)$ depends real-analytically on λ . The leading eigenvalue is simple and isolated. Hence the leading eigenprojection, the equilibrium state ν_{λ} , and the correlation functions depend real-analytically on λ .

To make the dependence of the covariance explicit, consider the pressure function

$$\mathcal{P}(s_1, s_2, \lambda, \xi) := P_{\sigma} \left(F_{\lambda} + s_1 \text{Re } \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} + s_2 \text{Im } \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} \right).$$

It is jointly real-analytic for (s_1, s_2) near $(0, 0)$ and $(\lambda, \xi) \in T_{\mathbb{R}}U$. Its first derivatives at $(s_1, s_2) = (0, 0)$ give

$$\int \operatorname{Re} \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} d\nu_{\lambda}, \quad \int \operatorname{Im} \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} d\nu_{\lambda},$$

and its second derivatives give the real asymptotic covariances of $\operatorname{Re} \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}$. Since the Hermitian covariance of a complex-valued function is determined by the real covariance matrix of its real and imaginary parts, it follows that the map

$$(\lambda, \xi) \mapsto \operatorname{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(\dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}, \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi})$$

is real-analytic. The augmented term

$$\left| \int \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi} d\nu_{\lambda} \right|^2$$

is real-analytic as well. Therefore, the function

$$(\lambda, \xi) \mapsto \operatorname{Cov}_{\nu_{\lambda}}^{\#}(\dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi}, \dot{\zeta}_{\lambda, \xi})$$

is real-analytic, which gives the real-analyticity of Q . $\square$

4.2. Null directions along a path. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \Omega$ be a C^1 -path and fix $s_0 \in I$. After shrinking I if necessary, structural stability gives conjugacies $h_{s, s_0}: J_{\gamma(s_0)}^* \rightarrow J_{\gamma(s)}^*$ satisfying $h_{s, s_0} \circ f_{\gamma(s_0)} = f_{\gamma(s)} \circ h_{s, s_0}$. Using the symbolic model for $f_{\gamma(s_0)}|_{J_{\gamma(s_0)}^*}$, we pull back the logarithmic unstable cocycle of $f_{\gamma(s)}$ to a Hölder function $\zeta_{\gamma(s)}^{(s_0)} \in C^{\alpha}(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{C})$. We define

$$K_{\gamma}(s, s_0) := \zeta_{\gamma(s)}^{(s_0)} - \zeta_{\gamma(s_0)}^{(s_0)}.$$

For $x \in \operatorname{Per}_n(\sigma)$, if p_s denotes the corresponding continuation of the saddle periodic point, then

$$S_n K_{\gamma}(s, s_0)(x) = \log \mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s) - \log \mu_{\gamma(s_0)}^u(p_{s_0}) \mod 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $K_{\gamma}(s, s_0)$ is the change of the actual complex unstable multiplier spectrum.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \Omega$ be a C^1 -path. Suppose that $Q(\gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) = 0$ for some $s \in I$. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=s} K_{\gamma}(t, s)$ is a Hölder coboundary over σ . In particular, for every $x \in \operatorname{Per}_n(\sigma)$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=s} S_n K_{\gamma}(t, s)(x) = 0.$$

Proof. We work with the symbolic model based at the parameter $\gamma(s)$. By definition, we have

$$Q(\gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) = \operatorname{Cov}_{\nu_{\gamma(s)}}^{\#} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=s} \zeta_{\gamma(t)}^{(s)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=s} \zeta_{\gamma(t)}^{(s)} \right).$$

Since this quantity is zero, Proposition 3.4 applied at the parameter $\gamma(s)$ to the vector $\dot{\gamma}(s)^{1,0}$ implies that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=s} \zeta_{\gamma(t)}^{(s)}$ is a Hölder coboundary. Since $K_{\gamma}(t, s) = \zeta_{\gamma(t)}^{(s)} - \zeta_{\gamma(s)}^{(s)}$, the same is true for

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=s} K_{\gamma}(t, s).$$

Summing this coboundary over a periodic orbit gives the assertion; see Corollary 3.5. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \Omega$ be a C^1 -path. If $Q(\gamma(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) = 0$ for every $s \in I$, then every marked saddle periodic point has constant unstable multiplier along γ . That is, for every saddle periodic point p_{s_0} of $f_{\gamma(s_0)}$, its continuation p_s satisfies $\mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s) = \mu_{\gamma(s_0)}^u(p_{s_0})$ for every $s \in I$.*

Proof. Fix $s_0 \in I$, and let p_{s_0} be a saddle periodic point of $f_{\gamma(s_0)}$ of period n . Let p_s denote its continuation along γ . It is enough to show that the map $s \mapsto \mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s)$ is constant. Let $s \in I$ be arbitrary. We apply Lemma 4.2 with base time s . Choose the symbolic model based at $f_{\gamma(s)}|_{J_{\gamma(s)}^*}$, and let $x \in \text{Per}_n(\sigma)$ code the marked periodic point p_s . Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=s} S_n K_\gamma(t, s)(x) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of $K_\gamma(t, s)$, we have

$$S_n K_\gamma(t, s)(x) = \log \mu_{\gamma(t)}^u(p_t) - \log \mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s) \mod 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

After choosing a local branch of the logarithm near s , the ambiguity in $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ is locally constant and hence has zero derivative. Therefore, we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=s} \log \mu_{\gamma(t)}^u(p_t) = 0.$$

Since $s \in I$ was arbitrary, the function $s \mapsto \log \mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s)$ has zero derivative locally along I . Hence it is constant on I , and so $\mu_{\gamma(s)}^u(p_s) = \mu_{\gamma(s_0)}^u(p_{s_0})$ for every $s \in I$. Since the marked periodic point was arbitrary, every marked saddle multiplier is constant along γ . $\square$

4.3. Positive length of non-constant piecewise C^1 -paths. For a piecewise C^1 -path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$, define its complex multiplier covariance length by

$$L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \sqrt{Q(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt.$$

Proposition 4.4. *Every non-constant piecewise C^1 -path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ satisfies $L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma) > 0$.*

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, that $L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma) = 0$. Since the integrand is continuous and non-negative on each C^1 -piece, we have $Q(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) = 0$ for every t on each smooth piece of the path.

By Corollary 4.3, the marked unstable multiplier spectrum is constant along γ . Hence, the image $\gamma([0, 1])$ is contained in a single fiber of the marked unstable multiplier spectrum. By the multiplier rigidity theorem of Cantat–Dujardin [CD26], this fiber is finite. Since $\gamma([0, 1])$ is connected and contained in a finite set, it consists of a single point. Hence γ is constant, giving a contradiction. $\square$

5. A REDUCTION ARGUMENT AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We now pass from positivity of individual non-constant piecewise C^1 -paths to separation of points by the induced path pseudo-distance. This step is necessary since, a priori, the infimum of the lengths of paths joining two distinct points could be zero even if no individual non-constant path has zero length. The analyticity of Q rules this out by a reduction argument as in [BH24, Section 5.4].

Lemma 5.1. *Let M be a real-analytic manifold and let Q be a real-analytic positive semi-definite quadratic form on TM . Assume that every non-constant piecewise C^1 -path in M has positive Q -length. Then the path pseudo-distance induced by Q separates points locally.*

The proof of Lemma 5.1 is based on the following theorem by Mityagin [Mit20].

Theorem 5.2 (Mityagin). *Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and let $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-analytic function which is not identically zero. Then the zero set $\{F = 0\}$ is covered by a countable union of (not necessarily closed) real-analytic submanifolds of V .*

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Since the statement is local, we work in a coordinate ball $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $B(x)$ be the positive semi-definite symmetric matrix representing Q in this chart, i.e., $Q(x, \xi) = \xi^T B(x) \xi$. The entries of B are real-analytic.

We first claim that $\Delta(x) := \det B(x)$ is not identically zero on any open subset of V . Indeed, if $\Delta \equiv 0$ on some open set W , then $B(x)$ has a non-trivial kernel for every $x \in W$. On a smaller open subset $W' \subset W$, the rank of $B(x)$ is constant. Hence $\ker B(x)$ forms a non-trivial real-analytic subbundle of TW' . Choosing a non-vanishing real-analytic vector field $X(x) \in \ker B(x)$ and integrating it gives a non-constant analytic path η such that $Q(\eta(t), \dot{\eta}(t)) = 0$ for all t . This path has zero length, contradicting the assumption. Therefore Δ is not identically zero.

It follows that Q is positive definite on $V \setminus Z_1$ where $Z_1 := \{\Delta = 0\}$. By Theorem 5.2, Z_1 is covered by countably many real-analytic submanifolds of positive codimension. Any zero-distance degeneracy class must be contained in Z_1 ; otherwise it would meet a region where Q is positive definite, which would give positive distance.

Now restrict Q to each analytic submanifold $Y \subset Z_1$. The restricted form is again real-analytic and positive semi-definite on TY . If its determinant were identically zero on an open subset of Y , the same constant-rank argument would produce a non-constant path inside Y of zero length, again contradicting the assumption. Therefore the determinant of the restricted form is not identically zero. Applying Theorem 5.2 again, its zero locus inside Y is covered by countably many analytic submanifolds of strictly smaller dimension.

By induction, we can reduce the problem to the case of dimension 1, i.e., an analytic path. In this case, a non-zero real-analytic non-negative quadratic form has only isolated zeros unless it vanishes identically; the latter is impossible by the positive-length assumption. Therefore two distinct points in the same one-dimensional analytic stratum have positive path distance. This proves local separation and completes the proof. $\square$

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1. Define the path pseudo-distance

$$d_{\text{cmc}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) := \inf_{\gamma} L_{\text{cmc}}(\gamma),$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise C^1 -paths γ in Ω joining λ_1 to λ_2 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The function d_{cmc} is symmetric, non-negative, and satisfies the triangle inequality, since it is defined as an infimum of path lengths. Hence it is a pseudo-distance. We only need to prove that it separates points.

By Proposition 4.1, the quadratic form Q is real-analytic on the tangent bundle. By Proposition 4.4, every non-constant piecewise C^1 -path has strictly positive length. Therefore Lemma 5.1 applies locally and shows the local separation of points.

Since d_{cmc} is induced by path lengths, local separation implies global separation on the connected component Ω . Indeed, a path joining a point to a point outside a sufficiently small neighbourhood must cross the boundary of that neighbourhood, and the local separation gives a positive lower bound for the length needed to do so. Hence, we have $d_{\text{cmc}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) > 0$ whenever $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Thus d_{cmc} is a distance on Ω , and the proof is complete. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ahl61] Lars V. Ahlfors. “Curvature properties of Teichmüller’s space”. In: *J. Analyse Math.* 9 (1961), pp. 161–176.
- [BBD18] François Berteloot, Fabrizio Bianchi, and Christophe Dupont. “Dynamical stability and Lyapunov exponents for holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ ”. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 51.1 (2018), pp. 215–262.
- [BCLS15] Martin Bridgeman, Richard Canary, François Labourie, and Andrés Sambarino. “The pressure metric for Anosov representations”. In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 25.4 (2015), pp. 1089–1179.

- [BD17] Pierre Berger and Romain Dujardin. “On stability and hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ ”. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 50.2 (2017), pp. 449–477.
- [BD24] Fabrizio Bianchi and Tien-Cuong Dinh. “Every complex Hénon map is exponentially mixing of all orders and satisfies the CLT”. In: *Forum Math. Sigma* 12 (2024), Paper No. e4, 12.
- [BH24] Fabrizio Bianchi and Yan Mary He. “Pressure path metrics on parabolic families of polynomials”. In: (2024). arXiv:2409.10462.
- [BH25] Fabrizio Bianchi and Yan Mary He. “Analyticity of the Hausdorff dimension and metric structures on Misiurewicz families of polynomials”. In: (2025). arXiv:2508.12493.
- [BLS93a] Eric Bedford, Mikhail Lyubich, and John Smillie. “Distribution of periodic points of polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ ”. In: *Invent. Math.* 114.2 (1993), pp. 277–288.
- [BLS93b] Eric Bedford, Mikhail Lyubich, and John Smillie. “Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents”. In: *Invent. Math.* 112.1 (1993), pp. 77–125.
- [BS91] Eric Bedford and John Smillie. “Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$: currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity”. In: *Invent. Math.* 103.1 (1991), pp. 69–99.
- [BS92] Eric Bedford and John Smillie. “Polynomial diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure”. In: *Math. Ann.* 294.3 (1992), pp. 395–420.
- [CD26] Serge Cantat and Romain Dujardin. “Multiplier rigidity for complex Hénon maps”. In: (2026). arXiv:2603.09445.
- [Din05] Tien-Cuong Dinh. “Decay of correlations for Hénon maps”. In: *Acta Math.* 195 (2005), pp. 253–264.
- [DL15] Romain Dujardin and Mikhail Lyubich. “Stability and bifurcations for dissipative polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ ”. In: *Invent. Math.* 200.2 (2015), pp. 439–511.
- [DTUZ26] Tushar Das, Giulio Tiozzo, Mariusz Urbański, and Anna Zdunik. *Open Dynamical Systems, Volume 1: Functional Analysis and Thermodynamic Formalism of Countable Shift Spaces*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 76/1. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter, 2026.
- [Duj20] Romain Dujardin. “Saddle hyperbolicity implies hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ ”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 27.3 (2020), pp. 693–709.
- [FM89] Shmuel Friedland and John Milnor. “Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms”. In: *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 9.1 (1989), pp. 67–99.
- [Ham97] Ursula Hamenstädt. “Cocycles, Hausdorff measures and cross ratios”. In: *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 17.5 (1997), pp. 1061–1081.
- [Ham99] Ursula Hamenstädt. “Cocycles, symplectic structures and intersection”. In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 9.1 (1999), pp. 90–140.
- [HLP24] Yan Mary He, Homin Lee, and Insung Park. “Pressure metrics in geometry and dynamics”. In: (2024). arXiv:2407.18441.
- [HLP25] Yan Mary He, Homin Lee, and Insung Park. “Complex analytic theory on the space of Blaschke products: Simultaneous uniformization and the pressure metric”. In: (2025). arXiv:2507.17077.
- [HN23] Yan Mary He and Hongming Nie. “A Riemannian metric on hyperbolic components”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 30.3 (2023), pp. 733–764.
- [Ivr14] Oleg Ivrii. *The geometry of the Weil-Petersson metric in complex dynamics*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014, p. 75.
- [Jul24] Julia Xénelkis de Hénon. “Hénon Maps: A List of Open Problems”. In: *Arnold Math. J.* 10 (2024), pp. 585–620.
- [JX23] Zhuchao Ji and Junyi Xie. “Homoclinic orbits, multiplier spectrum and rigidity theorems in complex dynamics”. In: *Forum Math. Pi* 11 (2023), Paper No. e11, 37.
- [Lyu83] Mikhail Y. Lyubich. “Some typical properties of the dynamics of rational mappings”. In: *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 38.5(233) (1983), pp. 197–198.
- [McM08] Curtis T. McMullen. “Thermodynamics, dimension and the Weil-Petersson metric”. In: *Invent. Math.* 173.2 (2008), pp. 365–425.
- [McM95] Curtis T. McMullen. “The classification of conformal dynamical systems”. In: *Current Developments in Mathematics, 1995*. Cambridge, MA: International Press, 1995, pp. 323–360.
- [Mit20] Boris S. Mityagin. “The zero set of a real analytic function”. In: *Mat. Zametki* 107.3 (2020), pp. 473–475.

- [MSS83] Ricardo Mañé, Paulo Sad, and Dennis Sullivan. “On the dynamics of rational maps”. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 16.2 (1983), pp. 193–217.
- [OW17] Hee Oh and Dale Winter. “Prime number theorems and holonomies for hyperbolic rational maps”. In: *Invent. Math.* 208.2 (2017), pp. 401–440.
- [PP90] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. “Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics”. In: *Astérisque* 187-188 (1990), p. 268.
- [PU10] Feliks Przytycki and Mariusz Urbański. *Conformal fractals: ergodic theory methods*. Vol. 371. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. x+354.
- [SU10] Hiroki Sumi and Mariusz Urbański. “Real analyticity of Hausdorff dimension for expanding rational semigroups”. In: *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 30.2 (2010), pp. 601–633.
- [Sul83] Dennis Sullivan. “Conformal dynamical systems”. In: *Geometric Dynamics*. Vol. 1007. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer, 1983, pp. 725–752.
- [UZ04] Mariusz Urbański and Anna Zdunik. “Real analyticity of Hausdorff dimension of finer Julia sets of exponential family”. In: *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 24.1 (2004), pp. 279–315.
- [Wol86] Scott A. Wolpert. “Chern forms and the Riemann tensor for the moduli space of curves”. In: *Invent. Math.* 85.1 (1986), pp. 119–145.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY
Email address: `fabrizio.bianchi@unipi.it`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OK 73019, USA
Email address: `he@ou.edu`