◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Nonstandard methods in algebraic geometry

Christian Serpé University of Münster, Germany

1. Juni 2008



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

joint work with Lars Brünjes from Regensburg, Germany

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- joint work with Lars Brünjes from Regensburg, Germany
- slides of this talk and our articles will soon be available on my homepage wwwmath.uni-muenster.de/u/serpe/

◆□ ▶ ◆圖 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶

∃ 990

Motivation

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Motivation

Many problems in algebraic geometry depend on the characteristic of the base field.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Motivation

Many problems in algebraic geometry depend on the characteristic of the base field. The main reason for that is that

 in the characteristic zero case one can use transcendental methods,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Motivation

Many problems in algebraic geometry depend on the characteristic of the base field. The main reason for that is that

- in the characteristic zero case one can use transcendental methods,
- and in characteristic p > 0 case one has the Frobenius morphism.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの



A link between the apparently so different worlds might be provided by the ultra product

 $\prod_{p\in M,\mathcal{U}}\mathbb{F}_p$

of the finite fields \mathbb{F}_p where *M* is an infinite set of primes and \mathcal{U} is an ultra filter.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの



A link between the apparently so different worlds might be provided by the ultra product



of the finite fields \mathbb{F}_p where *M* is an infinite set of primes and \mathcal{U} is an ultra filter.

•
$$char(\prod_{p\in M,\mathcal{U}}\mathbb{F}_p)=0$$



A link between the apparently so different worlds might be provided by the ultra product

 $\prod_{p\in M,\mathcal{U}}\mathbb{F}_p$

of the finite fields \mathbb{F}_p where *M* is an infinite set of primes and \mathcal{U} is an ultra filter.

•
$$char(\prod_{p\in M,\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$$

• in some sense $\prod_{\rho \in M, U} \mathbb{F}_{\rho}$ behaves like a finite field.























・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Enlargements

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Enlargements

Let $\hat{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ be a superstructure, and $* : \hat{M} \to \widehat{*M}$ be an enlargement of superstructures.

Enlargements

Let $\hat{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ be a superstructure, and $* : \hat{M} \to \widehat{*M}$ be an enlargement of superstructures. We assume that \hat{M} is large enough for all our purpose.

Enlargements

Let $\hat{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ be a superstructure, and $* : \hat{M} \to \widehat{*M}$ be an enlargement of superstructures. We assume that \hat{M} is large enough for all our purpose. Now the ultra product $\prod_{p \in M, U} \mathbb{F}_p$ is replaced by the *finite field

$${}^*\mathbb{F}_{P}:={}^*\mathbb{Z}/P$$

where $P \in {}^*\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{P}$ is an infinite prime.

Enlargements

Let $\hat{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ be a superstructure, and $* : \hat{M} \to \widehat{*M}$ be an enlargement of superstructures. We assume that \hat{M} is large enough for all our purpose. Now the ultra product $\prod_{p \in M, U} \mathbb{F}_p$ is replaced by the *finite field

$${}^*\mathbb{F}_{P}:={}^*\mathbb{Z}/P$$

where $P \in {}^*\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{P}$ is an infinite prime. We have

• char^{ext}(
$${}^*\mathbb{F}_P$$
) = 0

Enlargements

Let $\hat{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} M_i$ be a superstructure, and $* : \hat{M} \to \widehat{*M}$ be an enlargement of superstructures. We assume that \hat{M} is large enough for all our purpose. Now the ultra product $\prod_{p \in M, \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is replaced by the *finite field

$${}^*\mathbb{F}_{P}:={}^*\mathbb{Z}/P$$

where $P \in {}^*\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{P}$ is an infinite prime. We have

- char^{ext}(${}^*\mathbb{F}_P$) = 0
- $char^{int}(*\mathbb{F}_P) = P$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Affine varieties

Affine varieties

Consider:

• K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$



Affine varieties

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials

Affine varieties

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials

•
$$I := (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

Affine varieties

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials

•
$$I := (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

Affine varieties

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials

•
$$I := (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

$$V_{I}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \dots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Affine varieties

Consider:

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials
- $I := (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

$$V_{l}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \dots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

Such subsets are called **algebraic subsets** of K^n and if the ideal *I* is a prime ideal the subset is called **affine variety**.

Affine varieties

Consider:

- K algebraically closed field; $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomials
- $I := (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

$$V_{l}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \cdots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

Such subsets are called **algebraic subsets** of K^n and if the ideal *I* is a prime ideal the subset is called **affine variety**. A **morphism** of two algebraic subsets/affine varieties is a map which is given by polynomials. So we have the category **AffVar/K**.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

*Affine *varieties

*Affine *varieties

Consider:

• K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$



< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

*Affine *varieties

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

*Affine *varieties

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials

•
$$I := *(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

*Affine *varieties

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials

•
$$I := *(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

*Affine *varieties

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials
- $I := *(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

$$V_{I}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \dots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

*Affine *varieties

Consider:

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials
- $I := *(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

$$V_{l}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \dots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

Such subsets are called ***algebraic subsets** of K^n and if the ideal *I* is a prime ideal the subset is called ***affine *variety**.

*Affine *varieties

Consider:

- K internal *algebraically closed field; $n, m \in *\mathbb{N}$
- $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *finitely many *polynomials
- $I := *(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset K^*[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

$$V_{l}(K) := \{t = (t_{1}, \dots, t_{n}) \in K^{n} | f_{1}(t) = \dots = f_{m}(t) = 0\}$$

Such subsets are called ***algebraic subsets** of K^n and if the ideal *I* is a prime ideal the subset is called ***affine *variety**. A **morphism** of two *algebraic subsets/*affine *varieties is a map which is given by *polynomials. So we have the category ***AffVar/K**.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

The functor N

If K is an internal *algebraically closed field, it is also just an algebraically closed field. Therefore we can consider:

AffVar/K

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The functor N

If K is an internal *algebraically closed field, it is also just an algebraically closed field. Therefore we can consider:

AffVar/K

An important fact is that we can construct a functor

$N: AffVar/K \rightarrow *AffVar/K$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The functor N

If K is an internal *algebraically closed field, it is also just an algebraically closed field. Therefore we can consider:

AffVar/K

An important fact is that we can construct a functor

 $N: AffVar/K \rightarrow *AffVar/K$

Doing this for $\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P} := \overline{*\mathbb{Z}/P}$ for an infinite prime $P \in *\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{P}$ we get

$$N: AffVar/\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P} \to {}^*AffVar/\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P}$$

The functor N

If K is an internal *algebraically closed field, it is also just an algebraically closed field. Therefore we can consider:

AffVar/K

An important fact is that we can construct a functor

 $N: AffVar/K \rightarrow *AffVar/K$

Doing this for $\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P} := \overline{*\mathbb{Z}/P}$ for an infinite prime $P \in *\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{P}$ we get

$$N: AffVar/\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P} \to {}^*AffVar/\overline{*\mathbb{F}_P}$$

This gives a link between varieties over fields of characteristic zero and varieties over fields of charactereistic p > 0.

Enlargements of schemes

affine varieties ~> schemes

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

Enlargements of schemes

affine varieties ~> schemes

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● 回 ● の Q @

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Enlargements of schemes

affine varieties ~>> schemes

Again for an internal field K we want to have a functor

$$N: Sch^{fp}/K \to {}^*Sch^{fp}/K.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Enlargements of schemes

affine varieties ~>> schemes

Again for an internal field K we want to have a functor

$$N: Sch^{fp}/K \rightarrow {}^*Sch^{fp}/K.$$

What is $*Sch^{fp}/K$?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Enlargement of categories

• \mathcal{C} category \rightsquigarrow (internal) category $^*\mathcal{C}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Enlargement of categories

- C category \rightsquigarrow (internal) category *C
- E a property morphism of C can have → *E a property morphism of *C can have

Enlargement of categories

- C category \rightsquigarrow (internal) category *C
- E a property morphism of C can have → *E a property morphism of *C can have
- {C_s}_{s∈S} family of categories indexed by a set S ↔ {*C_s}_{s∈*S} family of categories indexed by the set *S

Enlargement of categories

- C category \rightsquigarrow (internal) category *C
- E a property morphism of C can have → *E a property morphism of *C can have
- {C_s}_{s∈S} family of categories indexed by a set S → {*C_s}_{s∈*S} family of categories indexed by the set *S
- here: $\{Sch^{fp}/k\}_{k\in S} \rightsquigarrow \{*Sch^{fp}/K\}_{K\in {}^{*}S}$

Construction of N

$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}} \to {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ の�?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Construction of N

$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P \to {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P$

Construction of *N*: $X \in Sch^{fp}/^*\mathbb{F}_P$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Construction of N

$$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P \to {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P$$

Construction of *N*: $X \subset Sch^{fp} / *\mathbb{R}$

- $X \in \mathcal{S}ch^{\textit{fp}}/^*\mathbb{F}_P$
 - find a subring A₀ ⊂ *F_P of finite type over Z and a scheme X₀ ∈ Sch^{fp}/A₀ such that X = X₀ ⊗_{A₀} *F_P

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Construction of N

$$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}} \to {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}$$

Construction of *N*: $X \in Sch^{fp}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P$

find a subring A₀ ⊂ *F_P of finite type over Z and a scheme X₀ ∈ Sch^{fp}/A₀ such that X = X₀ ⊗_{A₀} *F_P

•
$$N(X) := {}^*X_0 {}^* \otimes_{{}^*A_0} {}^*\mathbb{F}_P$$

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 - 釣�?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

• $f: X \to Y$ smooth $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *smooth

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

- $f: X \to Y$ smooth $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *smooth
- $f: X \to Y$ étale $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *étale

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

- $f: X \to Y$ smooth $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *smooth
- $f: X \to Y$ étale $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *étale

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

- $f: X \to Y$ smooth $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *smooth
- $f: X \to Y$ étale $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *étale

For schemes X, Y over an internal field we have:

 X is a variety if and only if N(X) is a *variety (uses a result of van den Dries/Schmidt about the map K[x₁,...,x_n] → K*[x₁,...,x_n])

Properties of N

Proposition (B.-S.)

- $f: X \to Y$ smooth $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *smooth
- $f: X \to Y$ étale $\Rightarrow N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ *étale

For schemes X, Y over an internal field we have:

- X is a variety if and only if N(X) is a *variety (uses a result of van den Dries/Schmidt about the map K[x₁,...,x_n] → K*[x₁,...,x_n])
- $f: X \to Y$ is birational if and only if $N(f): N(X) \to N(Y)$ is *birational

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

char 0 \rightsquigarrow char p

char 0 \sim char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes.



char 0 \rightsquigarrow char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

• Φ is true in characteristic 0.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

char 0 \rightsquigarrow char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

- Φ is true in characteristic 0.
- $\Phi(X)$ is true $\Rightarrow {}^{*}\Phi(N(X))$ is true

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

char 0 \rightsquigarrow char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

- Φ is true in characteristic 0.
- $\Phi(X)$ is true $\Rightarrow {}^{*}\Phi(N(X))$ is true

.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

char 0 ~> char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

- Φ is true in characteristic 0.
- $\Phi(X)$ is true $\Rightarrow {}^{*}\Phi(N(X))$ is true

Consider an subset S of schemes over fields such that *S is contained in the essential image of the functor

$$N: \mathit{Sch^{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P o {}^*\mathit{Sch^{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_P$$

char 0 ~> char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

- Φ is true in characteristic 0.
- $\Phi(X)$ is true $\Rightarrow {}^{*}\Phi(N(X))$ is true

Consider an subset S of schemes over fields such that *S is contained in the essential image of the functor

$$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}
ightarrow {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}$$

Then it follows:

char 0 ~> char p

Let Φ be a statement about schemes. Then assume that

- Φ is true in characteristic 0.
- $\Phi(X)$ is true $\Rightarrow {}^{*}\Phi(N(X))$ is true

Consider an subset S of schemes over fields such that *S is contained in the essential image of the functor

$$N: \mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}
ightarrow {}^*\mathit{Sch}^{\mathit{fp}}/{}^*\mathbb{F}_{\mathit{P}}$$

Then it follows:

There is a cofinite set of primes $\mathbb{P}' \subset \mathbb{P}$ such that for all schemes *X* over a field of characteristic $p \in \mathbb{P}'$ with $X \in S$ the statement Φ holds.



Theorem (Eklof 69)

For any pair (n, d) of natural numbers, there exists a bound $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any field of characteristic p > C and any closed subvariety X of \mathbb{P}_k^n of degree d, there exists a resolution of singularities of X.



Theorem (Eklof 69)

For any pair (n, d) of natural numbers, there exists a bound $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any field of characteristic p > C and any closed subvariety X of \mathbb{P}_k^n of degree d, there exists a resolution of singularities of X.



Theorem (Eklof 69)

For any pair (n, d) of natural numbers, there exists a bound $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any field of characteristic p > C and any closed subvariety X of \mathbb{P}_k^n of degree d, there exists a resolution of singularities of X.

Theorem (B.-S.)

A similar results holds for weak factorization

Étale cohomology and cycles

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

- $X \in Sch^{fp}/k$ a scheme over a field K and $i \in \mathbb{N}$
 - $Z^i(X)$ groups of codimension *i* cycles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

 $X \in \mathit{Sch^{fp}/k}$ a scheme over a field K and $i \in \mathbb{N}$

- Zⁱ(X) groups of codimension i cycles
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ étale cohomology

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

 $X \in \mathit{Sch^{fp}}/k$ a scheme over a field K and $i \in \mathbb{N}$

- $Z^i(X)$ groups of codimension *i* cycles
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ étale cohomology
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}_I)$ l-adic cohomology

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

 $X \in \mathit{Sch^{fp}}/k$ a scheme over a field K and $i \in \mathbb{N}$

- $Z^i(X)$ groups of codimension *i* cycles
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ étale cohomology
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}_I)$ l-adic cohomology

Étale cohomology and algebraic cycles

Algebraic cylces and étale cohomology are important invariants for schemes.

 $X \in Sch^{fp}/k$ a scheme over a field K and $i \in \mathbb{N}$

- $Z^i(X)$ groups of codimension *i* cycles
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ étale cohomology
- $H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}_I)$ l-adic cohomology

And there is a cycle class map

$$cl: Z^i(X) \rightarrow H^{2i}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

It is possible to construct a canonical morphisms

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

It is possible to construct a canonical morphisms

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

It is possible to construct a canonical morphisms

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

and

$$N: Z^i(X) \to {}^*Z^i(N(X))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

It is possible to construct a canonical morphisms

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

and

$$N: Z^i(X) \to {}^*Z^i(N(X))$$

which are compatable with *cl* and **cl*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

Let X be a proper scheme over an internal separably closed field. Then the canonical morphism

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

is an isomorphism.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

Let X be a proper scheme over an internal separably closed field. Then the canonical morphism

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

is an isomorphism.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

N for cycles and étale cohomology

Proposition (B.-S.)

Let X be a proper scheme over an internal separably closed field. Then the canonical morphism

$$N: H^i_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \to {}^*H^i_{et}(N(X), {}^*\mathbb{Z}/m)$$

is an isomorphism.

For cycles the map N is far from being surjective.

Étale cohomology and cycles

Lifting divisors to characteristic zero

Theorem (B.-S.)

Let X be a smooth and proper variety over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\eta \in H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be a cohomology class.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Étale cohomology and cycles

Lifting divisors to characteristic zero

Theorem (B.-S.)

Let X be a smooth and proper variety over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\eta \in H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be a cohomology class.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Lifting divisors to characteristic zero

Theorem (B.-S.)

Let X be a smooth and proper variety over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\eta \in H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be a cohomology class. If there are infinitely many prime $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that η lies in the image of

$$Z^1(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}) o H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Lifting divisors to characteristic zero

Theorem (B.-S.)

Let X be a smooth and proper variety over \mathbb{Q} , and let $\eta \in H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be a cohomology class. If there are infinitely many prime $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that η lies in the image of

$$Z^1(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}) o H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}, \mathbb{Z}_l) \simeq H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

then η lies in the image of

$$Z^1(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \to H^2_{et}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_l).$$