

Parabolic sheaves, root stacks and the Kato-Nakayama space

Mattia Talpo

UBC Vancouver



February 2016

Outline

Parabolic sheaves as sheaves on “stacks of roots”, and log geometry.

Partly joint with A. Vistoli, and Carchedi-Scherotzke-Sibilla.

Outline

Parabolic sheaves as sheaves on “stacks of roots”, and log geometry.

Partly joint with A. Vistoli, and Carchedi-Scherotzke-Sibilla.

Parabolic sheaves

Log schemes and (in)finite root stacks

Kato-Nakayama space and real roots

Parabolic sheaves (on a curve)

Let X be a compact Riemann surface.

Narasimhan-Seshadri correspondence: there is a bijection

{unitary irreducible representations of $\pi_1(X)$ }



{degree 0 stable (holomorphic) vector bundles on X }.

(via local systems)

Parabolic sheaves (on a curve)

Let X be a compact Riemann surface.

Narasimhan-Seshadri correspondence: there is a bijection

{unitary irreducible representations of $\pi_1(X)$ }



{degree 0 stable (holomorphic) vector bundles on X }.

(via local systems)

What about the **non-compact** case?

Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, and consider $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

There is a bijection

{unitary irreducible representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ }



Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, and consider $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

There is a bijection

{unitary irreducible representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ }



{degree 0 stable **parabolic** vector bundles on $(X, \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ }.

(Mehta-Seshadri, Deligne)

Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, and consider $X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

There is a bijection

{unitary irreducible representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ }



{degree 0 stable **parabolic** vector bundles on $(X, \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ }.

(Mehta-Seshadri, Deligne)

The “parabolic” structure is meant to encode the action of the small loops around the punctures.

Definition

A **parabolic bundle** on $(X, D = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ is a holomorphic vector bundle E on X with additional data:

Definition

A **parabolic bundle** on $(X, D = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ is a holomorphic vector bundle E on X with additional data:

for every point x_j there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F_{i,h_i} \subset \cdots \subset F_{i,2} \subset F_{i,1} = E_{x_j}$$

of the fiber of E over x_j , and weights $0 \leq a_{i,1} < \cdots < a_{i,h_i} < 1$.

Definition

A **parabolic bundle** on $(X, D = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ is a holomorphic vector bundle E on X with additional data:

for every point x_j there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F_{i,h_i} \subset \cdots \subset F_{i,2} \subset F_{i,1} = E_{x_j}$$

of the fiber of E over x_j , and weights $0 \leq a_{i,1} < \cdots < a_{i,h_i} < 1$.

~ eigenspaces and eigenvalues of the matrix corresponding to a small loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ around the puncture x_j .

I will assume that the weights $a_{i,k}$ are rational numbers.

Parabolic bundles arising from representations of the **algebraic** fundamental group $\widehat{\pi}_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ always have rational weights.

I will assume that the weights $a_{i,k}$ are rational numbers.

Parabolic bundles arising from representations of the algebraic fundamental group $\widehat{\pi}_1(X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ always have rational weights.

If $D = x_1 + \dots + x_k$ (divisor on X), by taking inverse images along $E \rightarrow E|_D$, a parabolic bundle can be seen as

$$E(-D) \subset F_h \subset \dots \subset F_1 = E$$

with weights $0 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_h < 1$.

We can generalize and allow sheaves and maps

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \rightarrow F_h \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 = E$$

whose composition $E(-D) \rightarrow E$ is multiplication by the section 1_D of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$, and weights $0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_h < 1$.

This definition makes sense for any variety X with an effective Cartier divisor $D \subseteq X$ (Maruyama-Yokogawa).

We can generalize and allow sheaves and maps

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \rightarrow F_h \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 = E$$

whose composition $E(-D) \rightarrow E$ is multiplication by the section 1_D of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$, and weights $0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_h < 1$.

This definition makes sense for any variety X with an effective Cartier divisor $D \subseteq X$ (Maruyama-Yokogawa).

One defines morphisms, subsheaves, kernels, cokernels, etc..
 \rightsquigarrow a nice category of parabolic sheaves.

Parabolic sheaves are “best” defined on an arbitrary **logarithmic scheme**.

Log schemes (K. Kato, Fontaine-Illusie)

Definition (Borne-Vistoli)

A **log scheme** is a scheme X with

Log schemes (K. Kato, Fontaine-Illusie)

Definition (Borne-Vistoli)

A **log scheme** is a scheme X with
a sheaf of monoids A and

a symmetric monoidal functor $A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$.

$\text{Div}_X =$ (symmetric monoidal fibered) category over $X_{\text{ét}}$ of line bundles with a global section (L, s) .

Log schemes (K. Kato, Fontaine-Illusie)

Definition (Borne-Vistoli)

A **log scheme** is a scheme X with
a sheaf of monoids A and

a symmetric monoidal functor $A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$.

$\text{Div}_X =$ (symmetric monoidal fibered) category over $X_{\acute{e}t}$ of line bundles with a global section (L, s) .

More concretely: if P is a monoid, a symmetric monoidal functor $L: P \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ sends

$$p \mapsto (L_p, s_p)$$

with isomorphisms

$$L_p \otimes L_q \cong L_{p+q}$$

carrying $s_p \otimes s_q$ to s_{p+q} .

If $D \subseteq X$ is an eff. Cartier divisor we get a log scheme (X, D) : take the symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ sending 1 to $(\mathcal{O}(D), 1_D)$.

If D has r irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_r and is **simple normal crossings** you might want to “separate the components” with the functor $\mathbb{N}^r \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ sending e_i to $(\mathcal{O}(D_i), 1_{D_i})$ (Iyer-Simpson, Borne).

If $D \subseteq X$ is an eff. Cartier divisor we get a log scheme (X, D) : take the symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ sending 1 to $(\mathcal{O}(D), 1_D)$.

If D has r irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_r and is **simple normal crossings** you might want to “separate the components” with the functor $\mathbb{N}^r \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ sending e_i to $(\mathcal{O}(D_i), 1_{D_i})$ (Iyer-Simpson, Borne).

Example: start with X non-proper, compactify to $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ with SNC complement $D = \bar{X} \setminus X = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_r$, and take $(\bar{X}, (D_1, \dots, D_r))$.

How to think about this

To visualize the log scheme $(X, L: A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X)$, think about the stalks of the sheaf A .

There is a largest open subset $U \subseteq X$ where $A_p = 0$ (might be empty).

In the “divisorial” case, $U = X \setminus D$.

How to think about this

To visualize the log scheme $(X, L: A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X)$, think about the stalks of the sheaf A .

There is a largest open subset $U \subseteq X$ where $A_p = 0$ (might be empty).

In the “divisorial” case, $U = X \setminus D$.

More generally A is **locally constant on a stratification** (\sim discrete data).

Example: $X = \mathbb{A}^2$, $D = \{xy = 0\}$. The stalks of the sheaf A are

0	on	$\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{xy = 0\}$
\mathbb{N}	on	$\{xy = 0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$
\mathbb{N}^2	on	$\{(0, 0)\}$.

Parabolic sheaves (on log schemes)

A parabolic sheaf on X with respect to D

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \rightarrow F_h \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 = E$$

with rational weights $0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_h < 1$ with **common denominator** n

Parabolic sheaves (on log schemes)

A parabolic sheaf on X with respect to D

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \rightarrow F_h \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 = E$$

with rational weights $0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_h < 1$ with **common denominator** n

can be seen as a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 -1 & & -a_h & & \cdots & & -a_2 & & -a_1 & & 0 \\
 \\
 E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) & \longrightarrow & F_h & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_2 & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & E
 \end{array}$$

of sheaves placed in the interval $[-1, 0]$, with maps going in the positive direction.

We can fill the (possible) “gaps” in $[-1, 0] \cap \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ by “looking at the sheaf on the left”, and

extend out of $[-1, 0]$ by tensoring with powers of $\mathcal{O}(D)$.

(so that $E_{q+1} \cong E_q \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ for every $q \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$)

We can fill the (possible) “gaps” in $[-1, 0] \cap \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ by “looking at the sheaf on the left”, and

extend out of $[-1, 0]$ by tensoring with powers of $\mathcal{O}(D)$.

(so that $E_{q+1} \cong E_q \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ for every $q \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$)

We obtain a **functor**

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(X)$$

where there is one arrow $a \rightarrow b$ in $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $a \leq b$ (i.e. there is $p \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{N}$ such that $a + p = b$).

Let X be a log scheme with log structure $L: P \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$, and choose an index $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (\sim common denominator of the weights).

Denote by $\frac{1}{n}P^{\text{wt}}$ the category with objects the elements of $\frac{1}{n}P^{gp}$ and arrows $a \rightarrow b$ elements $p \in \frac{1}{n}P$ such that $a + p = b$.

Let X be a log scheme with log structure $L: P \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$, and choose an index $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (\sim common denominator of the weights).

Denote by $\frac{1}{n}P^{\text{wt}}$ the category with objects the elements of $\frac{1}{n}P^{gp}$ and arrows $a \rightarrow b$ elements $p \in \frac{1}{n}P$ such that $a + p = b$.

Definition (Borne-Vistoli)

A **parabolic sheaf** on X with weights in $\frac{1}{n}P$ is a functor $E: \frac{1}{n}P^{\text{wt}} \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(X)$ together with isomorphisms

$$E_{a+p} \cong E_a \otimes L_p \text{ for any } a \in \frac{1}{n}P^{gp} \text{ and } p \in P$$

(that satisfy some compatibility properties).

Example

If the log structure on X is given by a snc divisor $D = D_1 + D_2$ with 2 irreducible components and we take $n = 2$,

Example

If the log structure on X is given by a snc divisor $D = D_1 + D_2$ with 2 irreducible components and we take $n = 2$,

then a parabolic sheaf can be seen as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_{(0,0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_1) & \longrightarrow & E_{(-\frac{1}{2},0)} & \longrightarrow & E_{(0,0)} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 E_{(0,-\frac{1}{2})} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_1) & \longrightarrow & E_{(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} & \longrightarrow & E_{(0,-\frac{1}{2})} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 E_{(0,0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) & \longrightarrow & E_{(-\frac{1}{2},0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_2) & \longrightarrow & E_{(0,0)} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_2)
 \end{array}$$

in the “negative unit square”, and extended outside by tensoring with powers of $\mathcal{O}(D_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(D_2)$.

Root stacks (Olsson, Borne-Vistoli)

Take a log scheme X with log structure $L: A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The n -th root stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$ parametrizes liftings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_X \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \wedge^n \\ & & \text{Div}_X \end{array}$$

where $\wedge^n: \text{Div}_X \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$ is given by

$$(L, s) \mapsto (L^{\otimes n}, s^{\otimes n}).$$

- ▶ If the log structure is induced by an irreducible Cartier divisor $D \subseteq X$, the stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$ parametrizes n -th roots of the divisor D .

That is, pairs (L, s) such that $(L, s)^{\otimes n} \cong (\mathcal{O}(D), 1_D)$.

- ▶ If X is a compact Riemann surface and $D = x_1 + \dots + x_k$, then $\sqrt[n]{X}$ is an orbifold with coarse moduli space X , and stabilizer $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ over the punctures x_i .

Root stacks are tame Artin stacks, Deligne–Mumford in good cases (for example if $\text{char}(k) = 0$).

Theorem (Borne-Vistoli)

Let X be a log scheme with log structure $A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$.

There is an equivalence between

parabolic sheaves on X with weights in $\frac{1}{n}A$, and

quasi-coherent sheaves on the root stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$.

Root stacks are tame Artin stacks, Deligne–Mumford in good cases (for example if $\text{char}(k) = 0$).

Theorem (Borne-Vistoli)

Let X be a log scheme with log structure $A \rightarrow \text{Div}_X$.

There is an equivalence between

parabolic sheaves on X with weights in $\frac{1}{n}A$, and

quasi-coherent sheaves on the root stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$.

The “pieces” E_a of the parabolic sheaves are obtained (roughly) as eigensheaves for the action of the stabilizers of $\sqrt[n]{X}$.

The infinite root stack

(with A. Vistoli)

If $n \mid m$, there is a projection morphism

$$\sqrt[m]{X} \rightarrow \sqrt[n]{X}$$

that corresponds to raising to the $\frac{m}{n}$ -th power.

This gives a projective system of algebraic stacks.

The infinite root stack

(with A. Vistoli)

If $n \mid m$, there is a projection morphism

$$\sqrt[m]{X} \rightarrow \sqrt[n]{X}$$

that corresponds to raising to the $\frac{m}{n}$ -th power.

This gives a projective system of algebraic stacks.

Definition

The **infinite root stack** of X is the inverse limit $\sqrt[\infty]{X} = \varprojlim_n \sqrt[n]{X}$.

The stack $\sqrt[\infty]{X}$ parametrizes compatible systems of roots of all orders. It is not algebraic, but it has local presentations as a quotient stack.

If X is a compact Riemann surface with the log structure induced by the divisor $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$, the infinite root stack $\infty\sqrt{X}$

- ▶ looks like X outside of D , and
- ▶ there is a stabilizer group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ at each of the points x_i .

If X is a compact Riemann surface with the log structure induced by the divisor $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$, the infinite root stack $\sqrt[\infty]{X}$

- ▶ looks like X outside of D , and
- ▶ there is a stabilizer group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ at each of the points x_i .

Theorem (-, Vistoli)

There is an equivalence between quasi-coherent sheaves on $\sqrt[\infty]{X}$ and parabolic sheaves on X with arbitrary rational weights.

(\rightsquigarrow **moduli spaces** for parabolic sheaves)

If X is a compact Riemann surface with the log structure induced by the divisor $D = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$, the infinite root stack $\sqrt[\infty]{X}$

- ▶ looks like X outside of D , and
- ▶ there is a stabilizer group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ at each of the points x_i .

Theorem (-, Vistoli)

There is an equivalence between quasi-coherent sheaves on $\sqrt[\infty]{X}$ and parabolic sheaves on X with arbitrary rational weights.

(\rightsquigarrow **moduli spaces** for parabolic sheaves)

Theorem (-, Vistoli)

Every isomorphism $\sqrt[\infty]{X} \cong \sqrt[\infty]{Y}$ of stacks comes from a unique isomorphism of log schemes $X \cong Y$.

The Kato-Nakayama space

From now on consider schemes locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} .
Let X be a log scheme.

There is an “underlying topological space” X_{log} with a surjective map $\tau: X_{log} \rightarrow X$.

The Kato-Nakayama space

From now on consider schemes locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} .
Let X be a log scheme.

There is an “underlying topological space” X_{log} with a surjective map $\tau: X_{log} \rightarrow X$.

The fiber of τ over $x \in X$ can be identified with $(S^1)^k$, where $k = \text{rank of the free abelian group } A_x^{gp}$.

(over the locus $U \subseteq X$ where the log structure is trivial, τ is an isomorphism)

The Kato-Nakayama space

From now on consider schemes locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} .
Let X be a log scheme.

There is an “underlying topological space” X_{log} with a surjective map $\tau: X_{log} \rightarrow X$.

The fiber of τ over $x \in X$ can be identified with $(S^1)^k$, where $k = \text{rank}$ of the free abelian group A_x^{gp} .
(over the locus $U \subseteq X$ where the log structure is trivial, τ is an isomorphism)

The (reduced) fiber of $\sqrt[k]{X} \rightarrow X$ over x is $B\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^k$, where k is the same number.

Note that $S^1 = B\mathbb{Z}$, and so $(S^1)^k \cong B\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^k$.

There is a functor $(-)_{top}$ that associates to a stack over schemes an underlying **topological stack** in the sense of Noohi (\sim analytification functor).

There is a functor $(-)_top$ that associates to a stack over schemes an underlying **topological stack** in the sense of Noohi (\sim analytification functor).

Theorem (Carchedi, Scherotzke, Sibilla, -)

There is a canonical map of topological stacks

$$\Phi_X: X_{log} \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{X}_{top}$$

that induces an equivalence upon profinite completion.

The description of the map is easier if one interprets X_{log} itself as parametrizing “roots” of a certain kind.

X_{log} as a root stack

As the stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$ parametrizes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_X \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \wedge^n \\ & & \text{Div}_X \end{array}$$

X_{log} as a root stack

As the stack $\sqrt[n]{X}$ parametrizes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_X \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow \wedge^n \\
 & & \text{Div}_X
 \end{array}$$

it turns out $\sqrt[n]{X}_{top}$ parametrizes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \\
 & \searrow & \uparrow \wedge^n \\
 & & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X.
 \end{array}$$

(note $\text{Div}_X \sim [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]_X$).

A way to map to something that dominates every morphism
 $\wedge n: [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X$ is to (in some sense) **extract a logarithm**.

A way to map to something that dominates every morphism $\wedge n: [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X$ is to (in some sense) **extract a logarithm**.

Consider the stack $X_{\mathbb{H}}$ that parametrizes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \text{exp} \\ & & [\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}^+]_X \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}$ and exp is induced by $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot e^{iy}$$

and by the exponential $\mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

For every n we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times] & \\ & \uparrow & \swarrow \wedge n \\ \text{exp} & & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times] \\ & \uparrow \phi_n & \\ & [\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}^+] & \end{array}$$

where $\phi_n: [\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}^+] \rightarrow [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]$ is given by $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\sqrt[n]{x}, y/n) \mapsto \sqrt[n]{x} \cdot e^{i\frac{y}{n}}$$

and by $\mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $z \mapsto e^{\frac{z}{n}}$.

Now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \\
 \text{---} & \text{---} & \text{---} \\
 & & \text{---} \wedge n \\
 & & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \\
 & \text{---} \text{exp} & \text{---} \\
 & & \text{---} \\
 & & \text{---} \phi_n \\
 & & [\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}^+]_X \\
 & \text{---} & \text{---} \\
 & & \text{---}
 \end{array}$$

gives a natural transformation $X_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \sqrt[n]{X}_{top}$.

These are compatible and give $X_{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{X}_{top} = \varprojlim_n \sqrt[n]{X}_{top}$.

Theorem ((in progress) -, Vistoli)

The topological space X_{log} represents the stack $X_{\mathbb{H}}$.

The morphism $X_{log} \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{X}_{top}$ that we obtain is the one mentioned before.

Theorem ((in progress) -, Vistoli)

The topological space X_{log} represents the stack $X_{\mathbb{H}}$.

The morphism $X_{log} \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{X}_{top}$ that we obtain is the one mentioned before.

This is related to

- ▶ **real roots** of the log structure, i.e. diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}^\times]_X \\ \downarrow & & \nearrow \text{---} \\ A_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} & & \end{array}$$

- ▶ parabolic sheaves with real weights.

Thank you for your attention!

$$\log \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{graph of } y=x^2 \\ \text{with axes} \end{array} \right) = ?$$